

Subgroups with non-trivial Möbius number in the Alternating and Symmetric groups

Valentina Colombo

Università di Padova

Ischia Group Theory 2010
April, 14th-17th

Let G be a finitely generated profinite group. We consider G as a probability space (with respect to the normalized Haar measure) and denote by $P(G, k)$ the probability that k randomly chosen elements of G generate G itself.

G is called **positively finitely generated** (PFG) if $P(G, k) > 0 \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definitions

- For each open subgroup H of G we may define

$$\mu(H, G) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } H = G \\ -\sum_{H < K \leq G} \mu(K, G) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b_m(G)$ be the number of open subgroups H with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$.

Conjectures

Conjecture (Mann, 2005)

Let G be a PFG group. Then $b_m(G)$ and $|\mu(H, G)|$ are bounded polynomially, respectively in terms of m and $|G : H|$.

This conjecture by Mann is implied by the following:

Conjecture (Lucchini, 2010)

There exists a constant c such that if X is a finite almost simple group, then $b_m(X) \leq m^c$ and $|\mu(Y, X)| \leq |X : Y|^c$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $Y \leq X$.

Conjectures

Conjecture (Mann, 2005)

Let G be a PFG group. Then $b_m(G)$ and $|\mu(H, G)|$ are bounded polynomially, respectively in terms of m and $|G : H|$.

This conjecture by Mann is implied by the following:

Conjecture (Lucchini, 2010)

There exists a constant c such that if X is a finite almost simple group, then $b_m(X) \leq m^c$ and $|\mu(Y, X)| \leq |X : Y|^c$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $Y \leq X$.

Main theorems

We have proved that Lucchini's conjecture holds for all the Alternating and Symmetric groups:

Theorem 1

There exists an absolute constant α such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $X \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $b_m(X) \leq m^\alpha$.

Theorem 2

There exists an absolute constant β such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $X \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $Y \leq X$, then $|\mu(Y, X)| \leq |X : Y|^\beta$.

Further results

These two theorems and Lucchini's reduction theorem imply:



Corollary 1

If G is a PFG group, and for each open normal subgroup N of G all the composition factors of G/N are either abelian or Alternating groups, then

- *there exists γ_1 such that $b_m(G) \leq m^{\gamma_1}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- *there exists γ_2 such that $|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\gamma_2}$ for each open subgroup H of G .*

Further results

These two theorems and Lucchini's reduction theorem imply: 

Corollary 1

If G is a PFG group, and for each open normal subgroup N of G all the composition factors of G/N are either abelian or Alternating groups, then

- *there exists γ_1 such that $b_m(G) \leq m^{\gamma_1}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- *there exists γ_2 such that $|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\gamma_2}$ for each open subgroup H of G .*

Example

$G = \prod_n (\text{Alt}(n))^n$ satisfies Mann's conjecture.

Further results

Theorem (Lucchini, 2009)

Let $G = \prod_i S_i$, where the S_i 's are finite nonabelian simple groups; suppose that G is d -generated and that there exists a constant c such that: $|\mu(Y, S_i)| \leq |S_i : Y|^c$, $\forall i$ and $\forall Y \leq S_i$. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^\epsilon$$

for each open subgroup H of G , where $\epsilon = \max(d, c) + 1$.

Further results

Theorem (Lucchini, 2009)

Let $G = \prod_i S_i$, where the S_i 's are finite nonabelian simple groups; suppose that G is d -generated and that there exists a constant c such that: $|\mu(Y, S_i)| \leq |S_i : Y|^c$, $\forall i$ and $\forall Y \leq S_i$. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^\epsilon$$

for each open subgroup H of G , where $\epsilon = \max(d, c) + 1$.

Corollary 2

Let $G = \prod_i A_i$, where the A_i 's are Alternating groups; suppose that G is d -generated. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\max(d, \beta)+1}$$

with β as in Theorem 2.

Corollary 2

Let $G = \prod_i A_i$, where the A_i 's are Alternating groups; suppose that G is d -generated. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\max(d, \beta)+1}$$

with β as in Theorem 2.

Example

$G = \prod_{n \geq 5} (\text{Alt}(n))^{n! / 8}$ is 2-generated and then, by Corollary 2, we have

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\max(2, \beta)+1}$$

for each open subgroup H of G .

Corollary 2

Let $G = \prod_i A_i$, where the A_i 's are Alternating groups; suppose that G is d -generated. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\max(d, \beta)+1}$$

with β as in Theorem 2.

Example

$G = \prod_{n \geq 5} (\text{Alt}(n))^{n!/8}$ is 2-generated and then, by Corollary 2, we have

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\max(2, \beta)+1}$$

for each open subgroup H of G .

Remark

Note that $G = \prod_{n \geq 5} (\text{Alt}(n))^{n!/8}$ is not a PFG group.

Preliminaries

Let G be transitive on a finite set Γ ; \mathcal{L}_G is the subgroup lattice of G .

Definition

Let $H \leq G$ and let $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_r\}$ be the orbits of H on Γ ; define

$$\bar{H} := (\prod_i \text{Sym}(\Omega_i)) \cap G$$

the **closure** of H in \mathcal{L}_G . H is said **closed** in \mathcal{L}_G if and only if $H = \bar{H}$. The set $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_G := \{H \in \mathcal{L}_G \mid H = \bar{H}\}$ is a poset; for any $H \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_G$, denote by $\bar{\mu}(H, G)$ the Möbius number of H in $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_G$.

Preliminaries

Let G be transitive on a finite set Γ ; \mathcal{L}_G is the subgroup lattice of G .

Definition

Let $H \leq G$ and let $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_r\}$ be the orbits of H on Γ ; define

$$\bar{H} := \left(\prod_i \text{Sym}(\Omega_i) \right) \cap G$$

the **closure** of H in \mathcal{L}_G . H is said **closed** in \mathcal{L}_G if and only if $H = \bar{H}$. The set $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_G := \{H \in \mathcal{L}_G \mid H = \bar{H}\}$ is a poset; for any $H \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_G$, denote by $\bar{\mu}(H, G)$ the Möbius number of H in $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_G$.

By the closure theorem of Crapo on \mathcal{L}_G :

$$\sum_{\substack{K \leq G \\ K \text{ transitive}}} \mu(H, K) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, G) & \text{if } H = \bar{H} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Key step

Lemma 3

If H is a subgroup of a transitive permutation group G , then

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{K \in S_H} \mu(K, G) g(H, K),$$

where $S_H := \{K \leq G \mid K \text{ transitive on } \Gamma, K \geq H\}$

and $g(H, K) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, K) & \text{if } H \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{L}_K \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$



We will apply this lemma when $G \in \{\text{Sym}(n), \text{Alt}(n)\}$. In particular we will consider G with two different actions:

- the natural action on the set $I_n := \{1, \dots, n\}$,
- the action on the set $\Delta_n := \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$ defined by $(a, b)g = (ag, bg)$. It is a transitive action.

Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2

There exists an absolute constant β such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $H \leq G$, then $|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^\beta$.

Let $H \leq G$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(T, G) g(H, T)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)|$$

Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2

There exists an absolute constant β such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $H \leq G$, then $|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^\beta$.

Let $H \leq G$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(T, G) g(H, T)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)|$$

Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2

There exists an absolute constant β such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $H \leq G$, then $|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^\beta$.

Let $H \leq G$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in S_H} \mu(T, G) g(H, T)$$

with $S_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in S_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)|$$

Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2

There exists an absolute constant β such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $H \leq G$, then $|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^\beta$.

Let $H \leq G$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(T, G) g(H, T)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$. Then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)|$$

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)| \quad (*)$$

- 1) First of all we estimate $|g(H, T)|$. Let r be the number of orbits of H on $\{1, \dots, n\}$; then
- $|g(H, T)|$ is bounded in terms of r : for any $T \neq H$

$$|g(H, T)| \leq (r!)^2/2,$$

- $r!$ is bounded in terms of $|G : H|$:

$$r! \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|.$$

Hence

$$|g(H, T)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H.$$

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)| \quad (*)$$

- 1) First of all we estimate $|g(H, T)|$. Let r be the number of orbits of H on $\{1, \dots, n\}$; then
- $|g(H, T)|$ is bounded in terms of r : for any $T \neq H$

$$|g(H, T)| \leq (r!)^2/2,$$

- $r!$ is bounded in terms of $|G : H|$:

$$r! \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|.$$

Hence

$$|g(H, T)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H.$$

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)| \quad (*)$$

1) First of all we estimate $|g(H, T)|$. Let r be the number of orbits of H on $\{1, \dots, n\}$; then

– $|g(H, T)|$ is bounded in terms of r : for any $T \neq H$

$$|g(H, T)| \leq (r!)^2/2,$$

– $r!$ is bounded in terms of $|G : H|$:

$$r! \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|.$$

Hence

$$|g(H, T)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H.$$

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)| \quad (*)$$

- 1) First of all we estimate $|g(H, T)|$. Let r be the number of orbits of H on $\{1, \dots, n\}$; then
- $|g(H, T)|$ is bounded in terms of r : for any $T \neq H$

$$|g(H, T)| \leq (r!)^2/2,$$

- $r!$ is bounded in terms of $|G : H|$:

$$r! \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|.$$

Hence

$$|g(H, T)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H.$$

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)| \quad (*)$$

- 1) First of all we estimate $|g(H, T)|$. Let r be the number of orbits of H on $\{1, \dots, n\}$; then
- $|g(H, T)|$ is bounded in terms of r : for any $T \neq H$

$$|g(H, T)| \leq (r!)^2/2,$$

- $r!$ is bounded in terms of $|G : H|$:

$$r! \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|.$$

Hence

$$|g(H, T)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H.$$

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \cdot |g(H, T)| \quad (*)$$

- 1) First of all we estimate $|g(H, T)|$. Let r be the number of orbits of H on $\{1, \dots, n\}$; then
- $|g(H, T)|$ is bounded in terms of r : for any $T \neq H$

$$|g(H, T)| \leq (r!)^2/2,$$

- $r!$ is bounded in terms of $|G : H|$:

$$r! \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|.$$

Hence

$$|g(H, T)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H.$$

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \quad (*)$$

2) Then we give a bound for $|\mu(T, G)|$. Consider the action of G on $\Delta_n = \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$; by applying Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$. Let t be the number of orbits of T on Δ_n ; then

- As previously, $|g(T, R)| \leq (t!)^2/2 \leq 2 \cdot |G : T|^2$,
- $R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ is 2-transitive $\Rightarrow |\mu(R, G)| \leq 1$, and there exists an absolute constant b such that $|\mathcal{S}_T| \leq |G : T|^b$.

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \quad (*)$$

2) Then we give a bound for $|\mu(T, G)|$. Consider the action of G on $\Delta_n = \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$; by applying Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$. Let t be the number of orbits of T on Δ_n ; then

- As previously, $|g(T, R)| \leq (t!)^2/2 \leq 2 \cdot |G : T|^2$,
- $R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ is 2-transitive $\Rightarrow |\mu(R, G)| \leq 1$, and there exists an absolute constant b such that $|\mathcal{S}_T| \leq |G : T|^b$.

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \quad (*)$$

2) Then we give a bound for $|\mu(T, G)|$. Consider the action of G on $\Delta_n = \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$; by applying Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$. Let t be the number of orbits of T on Δ_n ; then

- As previously, $|g(T, R)| \leq (t!)^2/2 \leq 2 \cdot |G : T|^2$,
- $R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ is 2-transitive $\Rightarrow |\mu(R, G)| \leq 1$, and there exists an absolute constant b such that $|\mathcal{S}_T| \leq |G : T|^b$.

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \quad (*)$$

2) Then we give a bound for $|\mu(T, G)|$. Consider the action of G on $\Delta_n = \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$; by applying Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$. Let t be the number of orbits of T on Δ_n ; then

- As previously, $|g(T, R)| \leq (t!)^2/2 \leq 2 \cdot |G : T|^2$,
- $R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ is 2-transitive $\Rightarrow |\mu(R, G)| \leq 1$, and there exists an absolute constant b such that $|\mathcal{S}_T| \leq |G : T|^b$.

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \quad (*)$$

- 2) Then we give a bound for $|\mu(T, G)|$. Consider the action of G on $\Delta_n = \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$; by applying Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$. Let t be the number of orbits of T on Δ_n ; then

- As previously, $|g(T, R)| \leq (t!)^2/2 \leq 2 \cdot |G : T|^2$,
- $R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ is 2-transitive $\Rightarrow |\mu(R, G)| \leq 1$, and there exists an absolute constant b such that $|\mathcal{S}_T| \leq |G : T|^b$.

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \quad (*)$$

- 2) Then we give a bound for $|\mu(T, G)|$. Consider the action of G on $\Delta_n = \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$; by applying Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$. Let t be the number of orbits of T on Δ_n ; then

- As previously, $|g(T, R)| \leq (t!)^2/2 \leq 2 \cdot |G : T|^2$,
- $R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ is 2-transitive $\Rightarrow |\mu(R, G)| \leq 1$, and there exists an absolute constant b such that $|\mathcal{S}_T| \leq |G : T|^b$.

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \quad (*)$$

2) Then we give a bound for $|\mu(T, G)|$. Consider the action of G on $\Delta_n = \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\}$; by applying Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$. Let t be the number of orbits of T on Δ_n ; then

- As previously, $|g(T, R)| \leq (t!)^2/2 \leq 2 \cdot |G : T|^2$,
- $R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ is 2-transitive $\Rightarrow |\mu(R, G)| \leq 1$, and there exists an absolute constant b such that $|\mathcal{S}_T| \leq |G : T|^b$.

Hence $\exists \nu$ (independent of n) such that

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq |G : T|^\nu \leq |G : H|^\nu \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H$$

Denote by s the number of $T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \leq s \cdot |G : H|^\nu$$

and

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot s \cdot |G : H|^\nu \quad (*)$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

Hence $\exists \nu$ (independent of n) such that

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq |G : T|^\nu \leq |G : H|^\nu \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H$$

Denote by s the number of $T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \leq s \cdot |G : H|^\nu$$

and

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot s \cdot |G : H|^\nu \quad (*)$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

Hence $\exists \nu$ (independent of n) such that

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq |G : T|^\nu \leq |G : H|^\nu \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H$$

Denote by s the number of $T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \leq s \cdot |G : H|^\nu$$

and

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot s \cdot |G : H|^\nu \quad (*)$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

Hence $\exists \nu$ (independent of n) such that

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq |G : T|^\nu \leq |G : H|^\nu \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H$$

Denote by s the number of $T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \leq s \cdot |G : H|^\nu$$

and

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot s \cdot |G : H|^\nu \quad (*)$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

Hence $\exists \nu$ (independent of n) such that

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq |G : T|^\nu \leq |G : H|^\nu \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_H$$

Denote by s the number of $T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} |\mu(T, G)| \leq s \cdot |G : H|^\nu$$

and

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot s \cdot |G : H|^\nu \quad (*)$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

- 3) We start proving: $t(G)$ be the number of all the transitive subgroups T of G with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$; then $\exists d$, independent of n , such that

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d$$

We have

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$.

Since $\mu(T, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ such that $g(T, R) = \bar{\mu}(T, R) \neq 0$.

Then T is closed in \mathcal{L}_R , and

$$T = R \cap C$$

where R is 2-transitive, and C is Δ_n -closed and transitive in G .

- There are at most $(n!)^\gamma$ Δ_n -closed transitive subgroups in G .
- The number of 2-transitive subgroups of G can be bounded by $(n!)^\delta$, with δ an absolute constant.

- 3) We start proving: $t(G)$ be the number of all the transitive subgroups T of G with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$; then $\exists d$, independent of n , such that

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d$$

We have

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$.

Since $\mu(T, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ such that $g(T, R) = \bar{\mu}(T, R) \neq 0$.

Then T is closed in \mathcal{L}_R , and

$$T = R \cap C$$

where R is 2-transitive, and C is Δ_n -closed and transitive in G .

- There are at most $(n!)^\gamma$ Δ_n -closed transitive subgroups in G .
- The number of 2-transitive subgroups of G can be bounded by $(n!)^\delta$, with δ an absolute constant.

- 3) We start proving: $t(G)$ be the number of all the transitive subgroups T of G with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$; then $\exists d$, independent of n , such that

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d$$

We have

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$.

Since $\mu(T, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ such that $g(T, R) = \bar{\mu}(T, R) \neq 0$.

Then T is closed in \mathcal{L}_R , and

$$T = R \cap C$$

where R is 2-transitive, and C is Δ_n -closed and transitive in G .

- There are at most $(n!)^\gamma$ Δ_n -closed transitive subgroups in G .
- The number of 2-transitive subgroups of G can be bounded by $(n!)^\delta$, with δ an absolute constant.

- 3) We start proving: $t(G)$ be the number of all the transitive subgroups T of G with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$; then $\exists d$, independent of n , such that

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d$$

We have

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$.

Since $\mu(T, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ such that $g(T, R) = \bar{\mu}(T, R) \neq 0$.

Then T is closed in \mathcal{L}_R , and

$$T = R \cap C$$

where R is 2-transitive, and C is Δ_n -closed and transitive in G .

- There are at most $(n!)^\gamma$ Δ_n -closed transitive subgroups in G .
- The number of 2-transitive subgroups of G can be bounded by $(n!)^\delta$, with δ an absolute constant.

- 3) We start proving: $t(G)$ be the number of all the transitive subgroups T of G with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$; then $\exists d$, independent of n , such that

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d$$

We have

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$.

Since $\mu(T, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ such that $g(T, R) = \bar{\mu}(T, R) \neq 0$.

Then T is closed in \mathcal{L}_R , and

$$T = R \cap C$$

where R is 2-transitive, and C is Δ_n -closed and transitive in G .

- There are at most $(n!)^\gamma$ Δ_n -closed transitive subgroups in G .
- The number of 2-transitive subgroups of G can be bounded by $(n!)^\delta$, with δ an absolute constant.

- 3) We start proving: $t(G)$ be the number of all the transitive subgroups T of G with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$; then $\exists d$, independent of n , such that

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d$$

We have

$$|\mu(T, G)| \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_T} |\mu(R, G)| \cdot |g(T, R)|$$

with $\mathcal{S}_T = \{R \leq G \mid R \text{ transitive on } \Delta_n, R \geq T\}$.

Since $\mu(T, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists R \in \mathcal{S}_T$ such that $g(T, R) = \bar{\mu}(T, R) \neq 0$.

Then T is closed in \mathcal{L}_R , and

$$T = R \cap C$$

where R is 2-transitive, and C is Δ_n -closed and transitive in G .

- There are at most $(n!)^\gamma$ Δ_n -closed transitive subgroups in G .
- The number of 2-transitive subgroups of G can be bounded by $(n!)^\delta$, with δ an absolute constant.

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$. Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$.

Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$.

Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$. Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$. Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$. Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$. Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t(G) \leq (n!)^d \quad \exists d$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$; denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G such that $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. If $m \leq 2$, $t_m(G) \leq 1$. Let $m > 2$; there exists an absolute constant f such that:

- if $m^f \geq n! \Rightarrow t_m(G) \leq (n!)^d \leq m^{fd}$.
- if $m^f < n!$ (i.e. m is very “small”), then any transitive subgroup T of G , with $|G : T| = m$, is imprimitive and

$$(\text{Alt}(a))^b \leq T \leq \text{Sym}(a) \wr \text{Sym}(b)$$

where $1 < b < a$, $ab = n$. The number of these subgroups of index m can be bounded polynomially on m .

Then there exists an absolute constant η such that

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

s : number of transitive subgroups of G containing H and with non zero Möbius number.

Then

$$s \leq \sum_{m \leq |G:H|} t_m(G) \leq |G : H|^{\eta+1}.$$

Conclusion

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot |G : H|^\nu \cdot |G : H|^{\eta+1} \quad (*)$$

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

s : number of transitive subgroups of G containing H and with non zero Möbius number.

Then

$$s \leq \sum_{m \leq |G:H|} t_m(G) \leq |G : H|^{\eta+1}.$$

Conclusion

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot |G : H|^\nu \cdot |G : H|^{\eta+1} \quad (*)$$

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

s : number of transitive subgroups of G containing H and with non zero Möbius number.

Then

$$s \leq \sum_{m \leq |G:H|} t_m(G) \leq |G : H|^{\eta+1}.$$

Conclusion

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot |G : H|^\nu \cdot |G : H|^{\eta+1} \quad (*)$$

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

s : number of transitive subgroups of G containing H and with non zero Möbius number.

Then

$$s \leq \sum_{m \leq |G:H|} t_m(G) \leq |G : H|^{\eta+1}.$$

Conclusion

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot |G : H|^\nu \cdot |G : H|^{\eta+1} \quad (*)$$

$$t_m(G) \leq m^\eta \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Aim: to bound polynomially s , in terms of $|G : H|$.

s : number of transitive subgroups of G containing H and with non zero Möbius number.

Then

$$s \leq \sum_{m \leq |G:H|} t_m(G) \leq |G : H|^{\eta+1}.$$

Conclusion

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq 2 \cdot |G : H|^2 \cdot |G : H|^\nu \cdot |G : H|^{\eta+1} \quad (*)$$

Some remarks

If we consider prime degrees, we are able to improve this result:

Theorem 4

Let p be a prime, with $p \neq 11, 23$ and $p \neq (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$, for any (q, d) , with q a prime power and $q > 4$ if $d = 2$.

If $G \in \{\text{Alt}(p), \text{Sym}(p)\}$ and $H \leq G$, then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|.$$

Some remarks

If we consider prime degrees, we are able to improve this result:

Theorem 4

Let p be a prime, with $p \neq 11, 23$ and $p \neq (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$, for any (q, d) , with q a prime power and $q > 4$ if $d = 2$.

If $G \in \{\text{Alt}(p), \text{Sym}(p)\}$ and $H \leq G$, then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|.$$

Theorem 4 leads us to formulate

Conjecture

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $H \leq G$, then

$$|\mu(H, G)| \leq c \cdot |G : H| \quad \exists c$$

The Reduction Theorem

Denote by $\Lambda(G)$ the set of finite monolithic groups L such that $\text{soc } L$ is non abelian and L is an epimorphic image of G .

Theorem (Lucchini)

Let G be a PFG group. Then the followings are equivalent.

- (1) There exist two constants γ_1 and γ_2 such that

$$b_m(G) \leq m^{\gamma_1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu(H, G)| \leq |G : H|^{\gamma_2}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each open subgroup H of G .

- (2) There exist two constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$b_m(X_L) \leq m^{c_1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu(Y, X_L)| \leq |X_L : Y|^{c_2}$$

for each $L \in \Lambda(G)$, each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $Y \leq X_L$.

Proof of Theorem 1

$b_m(G)$ is the number of $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1

There exists an absolute constant α such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $b_m(G) \leq m^\alpha$.

Let $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $\{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(T, G)g(H, T)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$.

Since $\mu(H, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G)g(H, T) \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1

$b_m(G)$ is the number of $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1

There exists an absolute constant α such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $b_m(G) \leq m^\alpha$.

Let $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $\{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(T, G)g(H, T)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$.

Since $\mu(H, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G)g(H, T) \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1

$b_m(G)$ is the number of $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1

There exists an absolute constant α such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $b_m(G) \leq m^\alpha$.

Let $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $\{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(T, G)g(H, T)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$.

Since $\mu(H, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G)g(H, T) \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1

$b_m(G)$ is the number of $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1

There exists an absolute constant α such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $b_m(G) \leq m^\alpha$.

Let $H \leq G$ with $|G : H| = m$ and $\mu(H, G) \neq 0$. We apply Lemma 3 with respect to the natural action of G on $\{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(T, G)g(H, T)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_H = \{T \leq G \mid T \text{ transitive, } T \geq H\}$.

Since $\mu(H, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists T \in \mathcal{S}_H$ such that $\mu(T, G)g(H, T) \neq 0$.

In particular $g(H, T) = \bar{\mu}(H, T) \neq 0$; H is closed in \mathcal{L}_T , and

$$H = T \cap C$$

where T is transitive with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$, and C is closed in G .

Strategy

To bound $b_m(G)$, we have to find polynomial bounds, in terms of m :

- 1) for the number of closed subgroups of G with index dividing m ,
- 2) for the number of transitive subgroups of G with non zero Möbius number and with index dividing m .

In particular $g(H, T) = \bar{\mu}(H, T) \neq 0$; H is closed in \mathcal{L}_T , and

$$H = T \cap C$$

where T is transitive with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$, and C is closed in G .

Strategy

To bound $b_m(G)$, we have to find polynomial bounds, in terms of m :

- 1) for the number of closed subgroups of G with index dividing m ,
- 2) for the number of transitive subgroups of G with non zero Möbius number and with index dividing m .

In particular $g(H, T) = \bar{\mu}(H, T) \neq 0$; H is closed in \mathcal{L}_T , and

$$H = T \cap C$$

where T is transitive with $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$, and C is closed in G .

Strategy

To bound $b_m(G)$, we have to find polynomial bounds, in terms of m :

- 1) for the number of closed subgroups of G with index dividing m ,
- 2) for the number of transitive subgroups of G with non zero Möbius number and with index dividing m .

Lemma 5

Let $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and denote by $c_m(G)$ the number of subgroups of G with index m and closed in \mathcal{L}_G . Then $c_m(G) \leq m^4$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 6

Let $G \in \{\text{Alt}(n), \text{Sym}(n)\}$ and denote by $t_m(G)$ the number of transitive subgroups T of G with $|G : T| = m$ and $\mu(T, G) \neq 0$. Then there exists an absolute constant η such that $t_m(G) \leq m^\eta$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Key step

Let G be transitive on Γ . For any subgroup H of G , define

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \{K \leq G \mid K \text{ transitive on } \Gamma, K \geq H\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_G.$$

Define $f, g : \mathcal{L}_G \times \mathcal{L}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$:

$$f(H, Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(H, Y) & \text{if } Y \in \mathcal{S}_H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$g(H, X) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, X) & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{S}_H \text{ and } H \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{L}_X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the closure theorem of Crapo on \mathcal{L}_X , with $X \in \mathcal{S}_H$,

$$\sum_{\substack{Y \leq X \\ Y \in \mathcal{S}_H}} \mu(H, Y) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, X) & \text{if } H \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{L}_X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Key step

Let G be transitive on Γ . For any subgroup H of G , define

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \{K \leq G \mid K \text{ transitive on } \Gamma, K \geq H\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_G.$$

Define $f, g : \mathcal{L}_G \times \mathcal{L}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$:

$$f(H, Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(H, Y) & \text{if } Y \in \mathcal{S}_H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$g(H, X) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, X) & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{S}_H \text{ and } H \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{L}_X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the closure theorem of Crapo on \mathcal{L}_X , with $X \in \mathcal{S}_H$,

$$\sum_{\substack{Y \leq X \\ Y \in \mathcal{S}_H}} \mu(H, Y) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, X) & \text{if } H \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{L}_X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Key step

Let G be transitive on Γ . For any subgroup H of G , define

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \{K \leq G \mid K \text{ transitive on } \Gamma, K \geq H\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_G.$$

Define $f, g : \mathcal{L}_G \times \mathcal{L}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$:

$$f(H, Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(H, Y) & \text{if } Y \in \mathcal{S}_H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$g(H, X) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, X) & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{S}_H \text{ and } H \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{L}_X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the closure theorem of Crapo on \mathcal{L}_X , with $X \in \mathcal{S}_H$,

$$\sum_{\substack{Y \leq X \\ Y \in \mathcal{S}_H}} \mu(H, Y) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}(H, X) & \text{if } H \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{L}_X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Key step

Then f and g satisfy the relation $g(H, X) = \sum_{\substack{Y \leq X \\ Y \in S_H}} f(H, Y)$.

By the Möbius inversion formula, for any $Y \in S_H$, we have

$$f(H, Y) = \sum_{\substack{X \leq Y \\ X \in S_H}} \mu(X, Y)g(H, X).$$

Setting $Y = G$, we get:

Lemma 3

If H is a subgroup of a transitive permutation group G , then

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{K \in S_H} \mu(K, G)g(H, K).$$

Key step

Then f and g satisfy the relation $g(H, X) = \sum_{\substack{Y \leq X \\ Y \in S_H}} f(H, Y)$.

By the Möbius inversion formula, for any $Y \in S_H$, we have

$$f(H, Y) = \sum_{\substack{X \leq Y \\ X \in S_H}} \mu(X, Y)g(H, X).$$

Setting $Y = G$, we get:

Lemma 3

If H is a subgroup of a transitive permutation group G , then

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{K \in S_H} \mu(K, G)g(H, K).$$

Key step

Then f and g satisfy the relation $g(H, X) = \sum_{\substack{Y \leq X \\ Y \in S_H}} f(H, Y)$.

By the Möbius inversion formula, for any $Y \in S_H$, we have

$$f(H, Y) = \sum_{\substack{X \leq Y \\ X \in S_H}} \mu(X, Y)g(H, X).$$

Setting $Y = G$, we get:

Lemma 3

If H is a subgroup of a transitive permutation group G , then

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{K \in S_H} \mu(K, G)g(H, K).$$

