

On the irreducibility of the Dirichlet polynomial of a simple group of Lie type

Massimiliano Patassini

Università di Padova

April 17, 2010

Ischia group theory 2010

The Dirichlet polynomial of a group

Let G be a finite group and let N be a normal subgroup of G . The Dirichlet polynomial of G given G/N is

$$P_{G,N}(s) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_k(G, N)}{k^s}, \quad \text{where } a_k(G, N) = \sum_{\substack{H \leq G, |G:H| = k, \\ NH = G}} \mu_G(H).$$

Here μ_G is the Möbius function of the subgroup lattice of G , which is defined inductively by $\mu_G(G) = 1$, $\mu_G(H) = -\sum_{K > H} \mu_G(K)$. It turns out that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ the number $P_{G,N}(k)$ is the probability that k randomly chosen elements of G generate G given that they generate G/N .

The Dirichlet polynomial of a group

Let G be a finite group and let N be a normal subgroup of G . The Dirichlet polynomial of G given G/N is

$$P_{G,N}(s) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_k(G, N)}{k^s}, \quad \text{where } a_k(G, N) = \sum_{\substack{H \leq G, |G:H| = k, \\ NH = G}} \mu_G(H).$$

Here μ_G is the Möbius function of the subgroup lattice of G , which is defined inductively by $\mu_G(G) = 1$, $\mu_G(H) = -\sum_{K > H} \mu_G(K)$. It turns out that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ the number $P_{G,N}(k)$ is the probability that k randomly chosen elements of G generate G given that they generate G/N .

The Dirichlet polynomial of a group

Let G be a finite group and let N be a normal subgroup of G . The Dirichlet polynomial of G given G/N is

$$P_{G,N}(s) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_k(G, N)}{k^s}, \quad \text{where } a_k(G, N) = \sum_{\substack{H \leq G, |G:H| = k, \\ NH = G}} \mu_G(H).$$

Here μ_G is the Möbius function of the subgroup lattice of G , which is defined inductively by $\mu_G(G) = 1$, $\mu_G(H) = -\sum_{K > H} \mu_G(K)$.

It turns out that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ the number $P_{G,N}(k)$ is the probability that k randomly chosen elements of G generate G given that they generate G/N .

The Dirichlet polynomial of a group

Let G be a finite group and let N be a normal subgroup of G . The Dirichlet polynomial of G given G/N is

$$P_{G,N}(s) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_k(G, N)}{k^s}, \quad \text{where } a_k(G, N) = \sum_{\substack{H \leq G, |G:H| = k, \\ NH = G}} \mu_G(H).$$

Here μ_G is the Möbius function of the subgroup lattice of G , which is defined inductively by $\mu_G(G) = 1$, $\mu_G(H) = -\sum_{K > H} \mu_G(K)$. It turns out that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ the number $P_{G,N}(k)$ is the probability that k randomly chosen elements of G generate G given that they generate G/N .

The Dirichlet polynomial of G

The **Dirichlet polynomial of G** is defined by $P_G(s) = P_{G,G}(s)$ (and $a_k(G) = a_k(G, G)$).

In particular, we have that $P_G(s) = P_{G/N}(s)P_{G,N}(s)$.

The Dirichlet polynomial of G

The **Dirichlet polynomial of G** is defined by $P_G(s) = P_{G,G}(s)$ (and $a_k(G) = a_k(G, G)$).

In particular, we have that $P_G(s) = P_{G/N}(s)P_{G,N}(s)$.

The ring of Dirichlet polynomials

Let \mathcal{R} be the **ring of Dirichlet finite series** (also called Dirichlet polynomials) with integer coefficients, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_m}{m^s} : a_m \in \mathbb{Z}, |\{m : a_m \neq 0\}| < \infty \right\}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{R} is a factorial domain and $P_G(s)$ and $P_{G,N}(s)$ are elements of \mathcal{R} .

The ring of Dirichlet polynomials

Let \mathcal{R} be the **ring of Dirichlet finite series** (also called Dirichlet polynomials) with integer coefficients, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_m}{m^s} : a_m \in \mathbb{Z}, |\{m : a_m \neq 0\}| < \infty \right\}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{R} is a factorial domain and $P_G(s)$ and $P_{G,N}(s)$ are elements of \mathcal{R} .

The ring of Dirichlet polynomials

Let \mathcal{R} be the **ring of Dirichlet finite series** (also called Dirichlet polynomials) with integer coefficients, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_m}{m^s} : a_m \in \mathbb{Z}, |\{m : a_m \neq 0\}| < \infty \right\}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{R} is a factorial domain and $P_G(s)$ and $P_{G,N}(s)$ are elements of \mathcal{R} .

The ring of Dirichlet polynomials

Let \mathcal{R} be the **ring of Dirichlet finite series** (also called Dirichlet polynomials) with integer coefficients, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_m}{m^s} : a_m \in \mathbb{Z}, |\{m : a_m \neq 0\}| < \infty \right\}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{R} is a factorial domain and $P_G(s)$ and $P_{G,N}(s)$ are elements of \mathcal{R} .

Motivations

Questions

- Under which conditions is $P_{G,N}(s)$ irreducible?
- Can we obtain insights into the structure of the group G from some informations about how $P_G(s)$ factorizes?

Lemma

If $P_G(s)$ is irreducible, then $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is a simple group.

The converse is not true: $P_{\text{PSL}_2(7)}(s)$ is reducible!

Motivations

Questions

- Under which conditions is $P_{G,N}(s)$ irreducible?
- Can we obtain insights into the structure of the group G from some informations about how $P_G(s)$ factorizes?

Lemma

If $P_G(s)$ is irreducible, then $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is a simple group.

The converse is not true: $P_{\text{PSL}_2(7)}(s)$ is reducible!

Motivations

Questions

- Under which conditions is $P_{G,N}(s)$ irreducible?
- Can we obtain insights into the structure of the group G from some informations about how $P_G(s)$ factorizes?

Lemma

If $P_G(s)$ is irreducible, then $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is a simple group.

The converse is not true: $P_{\text{PSL}_2(7)}(s)$ is reducible!

Motivations

Questions

- Under which conditions is $P_{G,N}(s)$ irreducible?
- Can we obtain insights into the structure of the group G from some informations about how $P_G(s)$ factorizes?

Lemma

If $P_G(s)$ is irreducible, then $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is a simple group.

The converse is not true: $P_{\text{PSL}_2(7)}(s)$ is reducible!

The class \mathcal{L} and the main result.

The class \mathcal{L} consists of groups G satisfying the followings:

- G is a monolithic primitive group with a simple component S isomorphic to a **simple group of Lie type**.
- Let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let k be the maximum of the orders of the graph automorphisms in $X \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$. **The Lie rank of S is greater than k .**
- S is not isomorphic to one of the following groups: $A_2(2)$, ${}^2A_3(3^2)$, ${}^2A_4(2^2)$, ${}^2A_5(2^2)$, $A_2(p)$, $C_2(p)$ for p a Mersenne prime.

Theorem

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible in the ring of finite Dirichlet series.

The class \mathcal{L} and the main result.

The class \mathcal{L} consists of groups G satisfying the followings:

- G is a monolithic primitive group with a simple component S isomorphic to a **simple group of Lie type**.
- Let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let k be the maximum of the orders of the graph automorphisms in $X \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$. **The Lie rank of S is greater than k .**
- S is not isomorphic to one of the following groups: $A_2(2)$, ${}^2A_3(3^2)$, ${}^2A_4(2^2)$, ${}^2A_5(2^2)$, $A_2(p)$, $C_2(p)$ for p a Mersenne prime.

Theorem

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible in the ring of finite Dirichlet series.

The class \mathcal{L} and the main result.

The class \mathcal{L} consists of groups G satisfying the followings:

- G is a monolithic primitive group with a simple component S isomorphic to a **simple group of Lie type**.
- Let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let k be the maximum of the orders of the graph automorphisms in $X \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$. **The Lie rank of S is greater than k .**
- S is not isomorphic to one of the following groups: $A_2(2)$, ${}^2A_3(3^2)$, ${}^2A_4(2^2)$, ${}^2A_5(2^2)$, $A_2(p)$, $C_2(p)$ for p a Mersenne prime.

Theorem

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible in the ring of finite Dirichlet series.

The class \mathcal{L} and the main result.

The class \mathcal{L} consists of groups G satisfying the followings:

- G is a monolithic primitive group with a simple component S isomorphic to a **simple group of Lie type**.
- Let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let k be the maximum of the orders of the graph automorphisms in $X \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$. **The Lie rank of S is greater than k .**
- S is not isomorphic to one of the following groups: $A_2(2)$, ${}^2A_3(3^2)$, ${}^2A_4(2^2)$, ${}^2A_5(2^2)$, $A_2(p)$, $C_2(p)$ for p a Mersenne prime.

Theorem

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible in the ring of finite Dirichlet series.

The class \mathcal{L} and the main result.

The class \mathcal{L} consists of groups G satisfying the followings:

- G is a monolithic primitive group with a simple component S isomorphic to a **simple group of Lie type**.
- Let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let k be the maximum of the orders of the graph automorphisms in $X \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$. **The Lie rank of S is greater than k .**
- S is not isomorphic to one of the following groups: $A_2(2)$, ${}^2A_3(3^2)$, ${}^2A_4(2^2)$, ${}^2A_5(2^2)$, $A_2(p)$, $C_2(p)$ for p a Mersenne prime.

Theorem

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible in the ring of finite Dirichlet series.

The class \mathcal{L} and the main result.

The class \mathcal{L} consists of groups G satisfying the followings:

- G is a monolithic primitive group with a simple component S isomorphic to a **simple group of Lie type**.
- Let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let k be the maximum of the orders of the graph automorphisms in $X \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$. **The Lie rank of S is greater than k .**
- S is not isomorphic to one of the following groups: $A_2(2)$, ${}^2A_3(3^2)$, ${}^2A_4(2^2)$, ${}^2A_5(2^2)$, $A_2(p)$, $C_2(p)$ for p a Mersenne prime.

Theorem

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible in the ring of finite Dirichlet series.

The class \mathcal{L} and the main result.

The class \mathcal{L} consists of groups G satisfying the followings:

- G is a monolithic primitive group with a simple component S isomorphic to a **simple group of Lie type**.
- Let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let k be the maximum of the orders of the graph automorphisms in $X \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$. **The Lie rank of S is greater than k .**
- S is not isomorphic to one of the following groups: $A_2(2)$, ${}^2A_3(3^2)$, ${}^2A_4(2^2)$, ${}^2A_5(2^2)$, $A_2(p)$, $C_2(p)$ for p a Mersenne prime.

Theorem

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible in the ring of finite Dirichlet series.

The simple case

Theorem

Let G be a simple group of Lie type. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_G(s)$ is reducible in \mathcal{R} if and only if $G \cong A_1(p)$ where p is a Mersenne prime such that $\log_2(p+1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

The above theorem was proved by Damian, Lucchini, Morini (2004) for $G \cong A_1(p)$, p prime.

The simple case

Theorem

Let G be a simple group of Lie type. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_G(s)$ is reducible in \mathcal{R} if and only if $G \cong A_1(p)$ where p is a Mersenne prime such that $\log_2(p+1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

The above theorem was proved by Damian, Lucchini, Morini (2004) for $G \cong A_1(p)$, p prime.

The simple case

Theorem

Let G be a simple group of Lie type. The Dirichlet polynomial $P_G(s)$ is reducible in \mathcal{R} if and only if $G \cong A_1(p)$ where p is a Mersenne prime such that $\log_2(p+1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

The above theorem was proved by Damian, Lucchini, Morini (2004) for $G \cong A_1(p)$, p prime.

Definitions

Let π be a set of prime numbers and let $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. We define a new Dirichlet polynomial

$$f^{(\pi)}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_m}{m^s} \quad \text{where } b_m = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is a } \pi' \text{ number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

Let $S = \text{Alt}_5$. We have

$$P_S(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 6^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s} + 2 \cdot 30^{1-s} - 60^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s}, \quad P_S^{(3)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(5)}(s) = 1 - 6^{1-s}.$$

Definitions

Let π be a set of prime numbers and let $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. We define a new Dirichlet polynomial

$$f^{(\pi)}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_m}{m^s} \quad \text{where } b_m = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is a } \pi' \text{ number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

Let $S = \text{Alt}_5$. We have

$$P_S(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 6^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s} + 2 \cdot 30^{1-s} - 60^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s}, \quad P_S^{(3)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(5)}(s) = 1 - 6^{1-s}.$$

Definitions

Let π be a set of prime numbers and let $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. We define a new Dirichlet polynomial

$$f^{(\pi)}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_m}{m^s} \quad \text{where } b_m = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is a } \pi' \text{ number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

Let $S = \text{Alt}_5$. We have

$$P_S(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 6^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s} + 2 \cdot 30^{1-s} - 60^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s}, \quad P_S^{(3)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(5)}(s) = 1 - 6^{1-s}.$$

Definitions

Let π be a set of prime numbers and let $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. We define a new Dirichlet polynomial

$$f^{(\pi)}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_m}{m^s} \quad \text{where } b_m = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is a } \pi' \text{ number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

Let $S = \text{Alt}_5$. We have

$$P_S(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 6^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s} + 2 \cdot 30^{1-s} - 60^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s}, \quad P_S^{(3)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(5)}(s) = 1 - 6^{1-s}.$$

Definitions

Let π be a set of prime numbers and let $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. We define a new Dirichlet polynomial

$$f^{(\pi)}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_m}{m^s} \quad \text{where } b_m = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is a } \pi' \text{ number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

Let $S = \text{Alt}_5$. We have

$$P_S(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 6^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s} + 2 \cdot 30^{1-s} - 60^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s}, \quad P_S^{(3)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(5)}(s) = 1 - 6^{1-s}.$$

Definitions

Let π be a set of prime numbers and let $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. We define a new Dirichlet polynomial

$$f^{(\pi)}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_m}{m^s} \quad \text{where } b_m = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is a } \pi' \text{ number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

Let $S = \text{Alt}_5$. We have

$$P_S(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 6^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s} + 2 \cdot 30^{1-s} - 60^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s}, \quad P_S^{(3)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(5)}(s) = 1 - 6^{1-s}.$$

Definitions

Let π be a set of prime numbers and let $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. We define a new Dirichlet polynomial

$$f^{(\pi)}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_m}{m^s} \quad \text{where } b_m = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is a } \pi' \text{ number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example

Let $S = \text{Alt}_5$. We have

$$P_S(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 6^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s} + 2 \cdot 30^{1-s} - 60^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s}, \quad P_S^{(3)}(s) = 1 - 5^{1-s} - 10^{1-s} + 20^{1-s},$$

$$P_S^{(5)}(s) = 1 - 6^{1-s}.$$

Connection between monolithic primitive groups and almost simple groups.

Theorem (Jiménez-Seral, 2008)

Let G be a monolithic primitive group with a non abelian simple component S and let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let $n = |G : N_G(S)|$.

We have

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(r)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(r)}(ns - n + 1)$$

for each prime divisor r of the order of S .

In particular, if S is a simple group of Lie type of characteristic p , we have that:

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(ns - n + 1).$$

Connection between monolithic primitive groups and almost simple groups.

Theorem (Jiménez-Seral, 2008)

Let G be a monolithic primitive group with a non abelian simple component S and let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let $n = |G : N_G(S)|$. We have

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(r)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(r)}(ns - n + 1)$$

for each prime divisor r of the order of S .

In particular, if S is a simple group of Lie type of characteristic p , we have that:

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(ns - n + 1).$$

Connection between monolithic primitive groups and almost simple groups.

Theorem (Jiménez-Seral, 2008)

Let G be a monolithic primitive group with a non abelian simple component S and let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let $n = |G : N_G(S)|$. We have

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(r)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(r)}(ns - n + 1)$$

for each prime divisor r of the order of S .

In particular, if S is a simple group of Lie type of characteristic p , we have that:

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(ns - n + 1).$$

Connection between monolithic primitive groups and almost simple groups.

Theorem (Jiménez-Seral, 2008)

Let G be a monolithic primitive group with a non abelian simple component S and let $X = N_G(S)/C_G(S)$. Let $n = |G : N_G(S)|$. We have

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(r)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(r)}(ns - n + 1)$$

for each prime divisor r of the order of S .

In particular, if S is a simple group of Lie type of characteristic p , we have that:

$$P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s) = P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(ns - n + 1).$$

The p -Dirichlet polynomial

Since $P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(s)$ depends on the structure of the parabolic subgroups of X , we were able to prove the following:

Proposition

Let G be in \mathcal{L} . Then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible in \mathcal{R} .

The p -Dirichlet polynomial

Since $P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(s)$ depends on the structure of the parabolic subgroups of X , we were able to prove the following:

Proposition

Let G be in \mathcal{L} . Then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible in \mathcal{R} .

The p -Dirichlet polynomial

Since $P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(s)$ depends on the structure of the parabolic subgroups of X , we were able to prove the following:

Proposition

Let G be in \mathcal{L} . Then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible in \mathcal{R} .

The p -Dirichlet polynomial

Since $P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(s)$ depends on the structure of the parabolic subgroups of X , we were able to prove the following:

Proposition

Let G be in \mathcal{L} . Then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible in \mathcal{R} .

The p -Dirichlet polynomial

Since $P_{X, \text{Soc}(X)}^{(p)}(s)$ depends on the structure of the parabolic subgroups of X , we were able to prove the following:

Proposition

Let G be in \mathcal{L} . Then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible in \mathcal{R} .

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

A technical Lemma

If $f(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and r is a prime number, then let $|f(s)|_r = \max\{|m|_r : a_m \neq 0\}$. We call $|f(s)|_r$ the r -part of $f(s)$.

Lemma

Let $h(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \in \mathcal{R}$ and p be a prime. Let $m = \text{lcm}\{m : a_m \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- ① $h^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible;
- ② there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \pi(m)$ such that $|h^{(p)}(s)|_r = |m|_r$ for each $r \in \pi$;
- ③ $(h(s), h^{(\pi)}(s)) = 1$.

Then $h(s)$ is irreducible.

The result

Proposition

If $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible.

We apply the previous Lemma with $h(s) = P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$. Note that m divides $|\text{Soc}(G)|$.

- 1 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible.
- 2 Take $\pi = \pi(S) - \pi(B)$. In fact,

$$|P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)|_r = |P_{X, S}^{(p)}(s)|_r^n = |S : B|_r^n = |S|_r^n = |\text{Soc}(G)|_r$$

for all $r \in \pi$.

- 3 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(\pi)}(s) = P_{X, S}^{(\pi)}(1 + n(s - 1)) = 1$ (using CFSG).

The result

Proposition

If $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible.

We apply the previous Lemma with $h(s) = P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$. Note that m divides $|\text{Soc}(G)|$.

- 1 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible.
- 2 Take $\pi = \pi(S) - \pi(B)$. In fact,

$$|P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)|_r = |P_{X, S}^{(p)}(s)|_r^n = |S : B|_r^n = |S|_r^n = |\text{Soc}(G)|_r$$

for all $r \in \pi$.

- 3 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(\pi)}(s) = P_{X, S}^{(\pi)}(1 + n(s - 1)) = 1$ (using CFSG).

The result

Proposition

If $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible.

We apply the previous Lemma with $h(s) = P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$. Note that m divides $|\text{Soc}(G)|$.

- 1 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible.
- 2 Take $\pi = \pi(S) - \pi(B)$. In fact,

$$|P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)|_r = |P_{X, S}^{(p)}(s)|_r^n = |S : B|_r^n = |S|_r^n = |\text{Soc}(G)|_r$$

for all $r \in \pi$.

- 3 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(\pi)}(s) = P_{X, S}^{(\pi)}(1 + n(s - 1)) = 1$ (using CFSG).

The result

Proposition

If $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible.

We apply the previous Lemma with $h(s) = P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$. Note that m divides $|\text{Soc}(G)|$.

- 1 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible.
- 2 Take $\pi = \pi(S) - \pi(B)$. In fact,

$$|P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)|_r = |P_{X, S}^{(p)}(s)|_r^n = |S : B|_r^n = |S|_r^n = |\text{Soc}(G)|_r$$

for all $r \in \pi$.

- 3 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(\pi)}(s) = P_{X, S}^{(\pi)}(1 + n(s - 1)) = 1$ (using CFSG).

The result

Proposition

If $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible.

We apply the previous Lemma with $h(s) = P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$. Note that m divides $|\text{Soc}(G)|$.

- 1 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible.
- 2 Take $\pi = \pi(S) - \pi(B)$. In fact,

$$|P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)|_r = |P_{X, S}^{(p)}(s)|_r^n = |S : B|_r^n = |S|_r^n = |\text{Soc}(G)|_r$$

for all $r \in \pi$.

- 3 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(\pi)}(s) = P_{X, S}^{(\pi)}(1 + n(s - 1)) = 1$ (using CFSG).

The result

Proposition

If $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible.

We apply the previous Lemma with $h(s) = P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$. Note that m divides $|\text{Soc}(G)|$.

- 1 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible.
- 2 Take $\pi = \pi(S) - \pi(B)$. In fact,

$$|P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)|_r = |P_{X, S}^{(p)}(s)|_r^n = |S : B|_r^n = |S|_r^n = |\text{Soc}(G)|_r$$

for all $r \in \pi$.

- 3 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(\pi)}(s) = P_{X, S}^{(\pi)}(1 + n(s - 1)) = 1$ (using CFSG).

The result

Proposition

If $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ is irreducible.

We apply the previous Lemma with $h(s) = P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$. Note that m divides $|\text{Soc}(G)|$.

- 1 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)$ is irreducible.
- 2 Take $\pi = \pi(S) - \pi(B)$. In fact,

$$|P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(p)}(s)|_r = |P_{X, S}^{(p)}(s)|_r^n = |S : B|_r^n = |S|_r^n = |\text{Soc}(G)|_r$$

for all $r \in \pi$.

- 3 $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}^{(\pi)}(s) = P_{X, S}^{(\pi)}(1 + n(s - 1)) = 1$ (using CFSG).

Conclusions

- The result on the irreducibility of the Dirichlet polynomials $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ with $G \in \mathcal{L}$ can be extended.
- There are some similar results for the alternating groups:
Damian, Lucchini, Morini (2004) proved that $P_{\text{Alt}_p}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime and Marilena Massa showed that $P_{\text{Alt}_{p+1}}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime.

Conclusions

- The result on the irreducibility of the Dirichlet polynomials $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ with $G \in \mathcal{L}$ can be extended.
- There are some similar results for the alternating groups:
Damian, Lucchini, Morini (2004) proved that $P_{\text{Alt}_p}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime and Marilena Massa showed that $P_{\text{Alt}_{p+1}}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime.

Conclusions

- The result on the irreducibility of the Dirichlet polynomials $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ with $G \in \mathcal{L}$ can be extended.
- There are some similar results for the alternating groups:
Damian, Lucchini, Morini (2004) proved that $P_{\text{Alt}_p}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime and Marilena Massa showed that $P_{\text{Alt}_{p+1}}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime.

Conclusions

- The result on the irreducibility of the Dirichlet polynomials $P_{G, \text{Soc}(G)}(s)$ with $G \in \mathcal{L}$ can be extended.
- There are some similar results for the alternating groups:
Damian, Lucchini, Morini (2004) proved that $P_{\text{Alt}_p}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime and Marilena Massa showed that $P_{\text{Alt}_{p+1}}(s)$ is irreducible for each p prime.