Right Engel subgroups

Gunnar Traustason

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Bath

Ischia Group Theory 2010

Right Engel subgroups

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The main structure results
- 3. The proofs of the main results
- 4. Powerfully embedded Right n-Engel subgroups.

Definition. We say that an element $a \in G$ is right *n*-Engel if $[a,_n g] = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Definition. We say that an element $a \in G$ is right *n*-Engel if [a, ng] = 1 for all $g \in G$. A subgroup *H* of *G* is right *n*-Engel if all its elements are right *n*-Engel.

Definition. We say that an element $a \in G$ is right *n*-Engel if [a, g] = 1 for all $g \in G$. A subgroup *H* of *G* is right *n*-Engel if all its elements are right *n*-Engel. If *G* itself is right *n*-Engel then we say that *G* is an *n*-Engel group

Definition. We say that an element $a \in G$ is right *n*-Engel if [a, g] = 1 for all $g \in G$. A subgroup *H* of *G* is right *n*-Engel if all its elements are right *n*-Engel. If *G* itself is right *n*-Engel then we say that *G* is an *n*-Engel group

H is right 1-Engel iff $H \leq Z(G)$

Definition. We say that an element $a \in G$ is right *n*-Engel if [a, g] = 1 for all $g \in G$. A subgroup *H* of *G* is right *n*-Engel if all its elements are right *n*-Engel. If *G* itself is right *n*-Engel then we say that *G* is an *n*-Engel group

H is right 1-Engel iff $H \leq Z(G)$

Example. $Z_n(G)$ is a right *n*-Engel subgroup of *G*.

Definition. We say that an element $a \in G$ is right *n*-Engel if $[a,_n g] = 1$ for all $g \in G$. A subgroup *H* of *G* is right *n*-Engel if all its elements are right *n*-Engel. If *G* itself is right *n*-Engel then we say that *G* is an *n*-Engel group

H is right 1-Engel iff $H \leq Z(G)$

Example. $Z_n(G)$ is a right *n*-Engel subgroup of *G*.

Question 1. Let H be a normal right n-Engel subgroup of G. When is H upper central?

Definition. We say that an element $a \in G$ is right *n*-Engel if $[a,_n g] = 1$ for all $g \in G$. A subgroup *H* of *G* is right *n*-Engel if all its elements are right *n*-Engel. If *G* itself is right *n*-Engel then we say that *G* is an *n*-Engel group

H is right 1-Engel iff $H \leq Z(G)$

Example. $Z_n(G)$ is a right *n*-Engel subgroup of *G*.

Question 1. Let H be a normal right n-Engel subgroup of G. When is H upper central?

Question 2. Supposing furthermore that H is upper central. When is the upper central degree bounded in terms of n?

Consider

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G & = & C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^{\infty} \\ & = & \prod_{g \in C_2^{\infty}} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^{\infty} \end{array}$$

Consider

 $\begin{array}{rcl} G & = & C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^{\infty} \\ & = & \prod_{g \in C_2^{\infty}} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^{\infty} \end{array}$

Let $H = \prod_{g \in C_2^{\infty}} \langle a^g \rangle$. Let g_1, g_2, \ldots be the standard basis for c_2^{∞} .

Consider

 $\begin{array}{rcl} G & = & C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^{\infty} \\ & = & \prod_{g \in C_2^{\infty}} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^{\infty} \end{array}$

Let $H = \prod_{g \in C_2^{\infty}} \langle a^g \rangle$. Let g_1, g_2, \ldots be the standard basis for c_2^{∞} . Then

 $[a, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m] = a^{(-1+g_1)\cdots(-1+g_m)} = a^{(-1)^m + \cdots + g_1 \cdots g_m} \neq 1.$

Consider

 $\begin{array}{rcl} G & = & C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^{\infty} \\ & = & \prod_{g \in C_2^{\infty}} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^{\infty} \end{array}$

Let $H = \prod_{g \in C_2^{\infty}} \langle a^g \rangle$. Let g_1, g_2, \ldots be the standard basis for c_2^{∞} . Then

$$[a, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m] = a^{(-1+g_1)\cdots(-1+g_m)} = a^{(-1)^m + \cdots + g_1 \cdots g_m} \neq 1.$$

In fact G is also a non-nilpotent 3-Engel group.

Consider

$$G = C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^m$$

=
$$\prod_{g \in C_2^m} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^m.$$

Consider

$$G = C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^m$$

=
$$\prod_{g \in C_2^m} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^m.$$

Let $H = \prod_{g \in C_2^m} \langle a^g \rangle$. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m be the standard basis for c_2^m .

Consider

$$G = C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^m$$

=
$$\prod_{g \in C_2^m} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^m.$$

Let $H = \prod_{g \in C_2^m} \langle a^g \rangle$. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m be the standard basis for c_2^m . Then

$$[a, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m] = a^{(-1+g_1)\cdots(-1+g_m)} = a^{(-1)^m + \cdots + g_1\cdots g_m} \neq 1.$$

Consider

$$G = C_2 \operatorname{wr} C_2^m$$

=
$$\prod_{g \in C_2^m} \langle a^g \rangle \ltimes C_2^m.$$

Let $H = \prod_{g \in C_2^m} \langle a^g \rangle$. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m be the standard basis for c_2^m . Then

$$[a, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m] = a^{(-1+g_1)\cdots(-1+g_m)} = a^{(-1)^m + \cdots + g_1 \cdots g_m} \neq 1.$$

Here *H* is upper central right 2-Engel subgroup of degree m + 1 and *G* is a nilpotent 3-Engel group of class m + 2.

Let G be a nilpotent n-Engel group.

Let G be a nilpotent n-Engel group.

Theorem 1(Wilson). If G is d-generated then G is nilpotent of (d, n)-bounded class

Let G be a nilpotent n-Engel group.

Theorem 1(Wilson). If G is d-generated then G is nilpotent of (d, n)-bounded class

Theorem 2(Zel'manov). If G is torsion-free then G is nilpotent of n-bounded class.

Let G be a nilpotent n-Engel group.

Theorem 1 (Wilson). If G is d-generated then G is nilpotent of (d, n)-bounded class

Theorem 2(Zel'manov). If G is torsion-free then G is nilpotent of n-bounded class.

Theorem 3(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that $[G^{e(n)}, c(n) G] = \{1\}.$

Let G be a nilpotent n-Engel group.

Theorem 1 (Wilson). If G is d-generated then G is nilpotent of (d, n)-bounded class

Theorem 2(Zel'manov). If G is torsion-free then G is nilpotent of n-bounded class.

Theorem 3(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that $[G^{e(n)}, c(n) G] = \{1\}.$

This strengthens a result of Burns and Medvedev that proved that one can choose e(n), c(n) such that $G^{e(n)}$ is nilpotent of class at most c(n)

Let G be a nilpotent n-Engel group.

Theorem 1 (Wilson). If G is d-generated then G is nilpotent of (d, n)-bounded class

Theorem 2(Zel'manov). If G is torsion-free then G is nilpotent of n-bounded class.

Theorem 3(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that $[G^{e(n)}, c(n) G] = \{1\}.$

This strengthens a result of Burns and Medvedev that proved that one can choose e(n), c(n) such that $G^{e(n)}$ is nilpotent of class at most c(n)

Theorem 4(Burns and Medvedev). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that

 $\gamma_{c_n}(G)^{e(n)}=\{1\}.$

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If G is d-generated then H is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If *G* is *d*-generated then *H* is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree For n = 2 and d > 2 we get that the degree is at most d + 1

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If *G* is *d*-generated then *H* is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree For n = 2 and $d \ge 2$ we get that the degree is at most d + 1

Theorem 2 (Crosby, T). If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If *G* is *d*-generated then *H* is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree For n = 2 and $d \ge 2$ we get that the degree is at most d + 1

Theorem 2 (Crosby, T). If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

For n = 2 we get that the degree is at most 3

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If *G* is *d*-generated then *H* is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree For n = 2 and $d \ge 2$ we get that the degree is at most d + 1

Theorem 2 (Crosby, T). If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

For n = 2 we get that the degree is at most 3

Theorem 3(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that

 $[H^{e(n)},_{c(n)}G] = \{1\}.$

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If *G* is *d*-generated then *H* is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree For n = 2 and $d \ge 2$ we get that the degree is at most d + 1

Theorem 2 (Crosby, T). If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

For n = 2 we get that the degree is at most 3

Theorem 3(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that

 $[H^{e(n)},_{c(n)}G] = \{1\}.$

We have e(2) = 2 and c(2) = 3

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If *G* is *d*-generated then *H* is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree For n = 2 and $d \ge 2$ we get that the degree is at most d + 1

Theorem 2 (Crosby, T). If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

For n = 2 we get that the degree is at most 3

Theorem 3(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that

 $[H^{e(n)},_{c(n)}G] = \{1\}.$

We have e(2) = 2 and c(2) = 3

Theorem 4(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that

 $[H_{,c(n)}G]^{e(n)} = \{1\}.$

The Main Structure Results For Right *n*-Engel Subgroups

Let *H* be a normal right *n*-Engel subgroup of a group *G* that is upper central

Theorem 1(Crosby, T). If *G* is *d*-generated then *H* is upper central of (d, n)-bounded degree For n = 2 and $d \ge 2$ we get that the degree is at most d + 1

Theorem 2 (Crosby, T). If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

For n = 2 we get that the degree is at most 3

Theorem 3(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that

 $[H^{e(n)},_{c(n)}G] = \{1\}.$

We have e(2) = 2 and c(2) = 3

Theorem 4(Crosby, T). There exist positive integers e(n), c(n) such that

 $[H_{,c(n)}G]^{e(n)} = \{1\}.$

We have e(2) = 2 and c(2) = 3

3. The proofs of the main structure results

3. The proofs of the main structure results

The main tool for the proof of Theorem 1 is

Theorem(Zel'manov) Let $L = \langle x_1, ..., x_d \rangle$ be a *d*-generator Lie ring and *r*, *s* be positive integers such that

(1) $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} uv_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(r)} = 0$ for all $u, v_1, \dots, v_r \in L$ (2) $uv^s = 0$ for all $u \in L$ and all Lie products v in the generators.

Then *L* is nilpotent of (d, r, s)-bounded class.

Sketch proof.

Sketch proof. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$. Consider the chains $(H_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(G_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $H_i = [H_{,i} G]$ and $G_i = \gamma_i(G)$.

Sketch proof. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$. Consider the chains $(H_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(G_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $H_i = [H_i, G]$ and $G_i = \gamma_i(G)$. Let $A_i = H_i/H_{i+1}$ and $L_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$. Let

 $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots, A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$

Where *L* becomes the associated Lie ring of *G* and *A* is treated as an abelian Lie ring.

Sketch proof. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$. Consider the chains $(H_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(G_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $H_i = [H_i, G]$ and $G_i = \gamma_i(G)$. Let $A_i = H_i/H_{i+1}$ and $L_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$. Let

 $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots, A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$

Where *L* becomes the associated Lie ring of *G* and *A* is treated as an abelian Lie ring. We get naturally a semidirect product of Lie rings $A \ltimes L$, where the action from *L* on *A* is induced from $hH_{i+1} \cdot gG_{j+1} = [h, g]H_{i+j+1} \in A_{i+j}$ for $hH_{i+1} \in A_i$ and $gG_{j+1} \in L_j$.

Sketch proof. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$. Consider the chains $(H_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(G_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $H_i = [H_i, G]$ and $G_i = \gamma_i(G)$. Let $A_i = H_i/H_{i+1}$ and $L_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$. Let

 $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots, A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$

Where *L* becomes the associated Lie ring of *G* and *A* is treated as an abelian Lie ring. We get naturally a semidirect product of Lie rings $A \ltimes L$, where the action from *L* on *A* is induced from $hH_{i+1} \cdot gG_{j+1} = [h, g]H_{i+j+1} \in A_{i+j}$ for $hH_{i+1} \in A_i$ and $gG_{j+1} \in L_j$. For a fixed $h \in H$ consider the elements $a = hH_1 \in A_0$ and $l_1 = g_1G_1, \ldots, l_d = g_dG_1 \in L_1$.

Sketch proof. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$. Consider the chains $(H_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(G_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $H_i = [H_i, G]$ and $G_i = \gamma_i(G)$. Let $A_i = H_i/H_{i+1}$ and $L_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$. Let

 $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots, A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$

Where *L* becomes the associated Lie ring of *G* and *A* is treated as an abelian Lie ring. We get naturally a semidirect product of Lie rings $A \ltimes L$, where the action from *L* on *A* is induced from $hH_{i+1} \cdot gG_{j+1} = [h, g]H_{i+j+1} \in A_{i+j}$ for $hH_{i+1} \in A_i$ and $gG_{j+1} \in L_j$. For a fixed $h \in H$ consider the elements $a = hH_1 \in A_0$ and $l_1 = g_1G_1, \ldots, l_d = g_dG_1 \in L_1$. One shows that the subring $M = \langle aC_L(A), l_1C_L(A), \ldots, l_dC_L(A) \rangle$ of $A \ltimes L/C_L(A)$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Zel'manov's Theorem for r = 3n - 1 and s = 2n - 1.

Sketch proof. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$. Consider the chains $(H_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(G_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $H_i = [H_i, G]$ and $G_i = \gamma_i(G)$. Let $A_i = H_i/H_{i+1}$ and $L_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$. Let

 $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots, A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$

Where *L* becomes the associated Lie ring of *G* and *A* is treated as an abelian Lie ring. We get naturally a semidirect product of Lie rings $A \ltimes L$, where the action from *L* on *A* is induced from $hH_{i+1} \cdot gG_{j+1} = [h, g]H_{i+j+1} \in A_{i+j}$ for $hH_{i+1} \in A_i$ and $gG_{j+1} \in L_j$. For a fixed $h \in H$ consider the elements $a = hH_1 \in A_0$ and $l_1 = g_1G_1, \ldots, l_d = g_dG_1 \in L_1$. One shows that the subring $M = \langle aC_L(A), l_1C_L(A), \ldots, l_dC_L(A) \rangle$ of $A \ltimes L/C_L(A)$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Zel'manov's Theorem for r = 3n - 1 and s = 2n - 1. It follows that *M* is nilpotent of (d, n) bounded class *c*. In particular $aL^c = \{0\}$.

Sketch proof. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$. Consider the chains $(H_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(G_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $H_i = [H_i, G]$ and $G_i = \gamma_i(G)$. Let $A_i = H_i/H_{i+1}$ and $L_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$. Let

 $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots, A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$

Where *L* becomes the associated Lie ring of *G* and *A* is treated as an abelian Lie ring. We get naturally a semidirect product of Lie rings $A \ltimes L$, where the action from *L* on *A* is induced from $hH_{i+1} \cdot gG_{j+1} = [h, g]H_{i+j+1} \in A_{i+j}$ for $hH_{i+1} \in A_i$ and $gG_{j+1} \in L_j$. For a fixed $h \in H$ consider the elements $a = hH_1 \in A_0$ and $l_1 = g_1G_1, \ldots, l_d = g_dG_1 \in L_1$. One shows that the subring $M = \langle aC_L(A), l_1C_L(A), \ldots, l_dC_L(A) \rangle$ of $A \ltimes L/C_L(A)$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Zel'manov's Theorem for r = 3n - 1 and s = 2n - 1. It follows that *M* is nilpotent of (d, n) bounded class *c*. In particular $aL^c = \{0\}$ and thus $AL^c = \{0\}$. Hence

$$[H,_c G] \leq [H,_{c+1} G] \Rightarrow [H,_c G] = \{1\}.$$

The main tool for proving

Theorem 2. If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

The main tool for proving

Theorem 2. If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

is similarly

Theorem(Zel'manov) Let L be a torsion-free n-Engel Lie ring. Then L is nilpotent of n-bounded class.

The main tool for proving

Theorem 2. If H is torsion-free then H is upper central of n-bounded degree

is similarly

Theorem(Zel'manov) Let L be a torsion-free n-Engel Lie ring. Then L is nilpotent of n-bounded class.

Need to work with $H_i = \sqrt[H]{[H_i, G]}$ and $G_i = \sqrt[G]{\gamma_i(G)}$ instead.

Let *G* be a finite *p*-group. We say that a subgroup *H* of *G* is powerfully embedded if $[H, G] \leq H^p$ ($[H, G] \leq H^4$ if p = 2).

Let *G* be a finite *p*-group. We say that a subgroup *H* of *G* is powerfully embedded if $[H, G] \leq H^p$ ($[H, G] \leq H^4$ if p = 2).

Theorem 5(Crosby, T). Let *H* be a powerfully embedded subgroup of a finite *p*-group *G*. There exists a positive integer d(n) such that

 $[H_{,d(n)} G] = \{1\}.$

Let *G* be a finite *p*-group. We say that a subgroup *H* of *G* is powerfully embedded if $[H, G] \leq H^p$ ($[H, G] \leq H^4$ if p = 2).

Theorem 5(Crosby, T). Let *H* be a powerfully embedded subgroup of a finite *p*-group *G*. There exists a positive integer d(n) such that

 $[H_{,d(n)}G] = \{1\}.$

Sketch Proof. By structure theorem 3, there exist positive integers $e(n) = p_1^{r_1(n)} \cdots p_m^{r_m(n)}$ and c(n) such that $[H^{e(n)}, c(n), G] = \{1\}$.

Let *G* be a finite *p*-group. We say that a subgroup *H* of *G* is powerfully embedded if $[H, G] \leq H^p$ ($[H, G] \leq H^4$ if p = 2).

Theorem 5(Crosby, T). Let *H* be a powerfully embedded subgroup of a finite *p*-group *G*. There exists a positive integer d(n) such that

 $[H_{,d(n)}G] = \{1\}.$

Sketch Proof. By structure theorem 3, there exist positive integers $e(n) = p_1^{r_1(n)} \cdots p_m^{r_m(n)}$ and c(n) such that $[H^{e(n)},_{c(n)} G] = \{1\}$. Let $r = r(n) = \max\{r_1(n), \ldots, r_m(n)\}$. Using $[H, G] \leq H^p$ and standard properties of powerfully embedded subgroups, we see that $[H_{r(n)} G] \leq H^{p^{r(n)}}$

Let *G* be a finite *p*-group. We say that a subgroup *H* of *G* is powerfully embedded if $[H, G] \leq H^p$ ($[H, G] \leq H^4$ if p = 2).

Theorem 5(Crosby, T). Let *H* be a powerfully embedded subgroup of a finite *p*-group *G*. There exists a positive integer d(n) such that

 $[H_{,d(n)}G] = \{1\}.$

Sketch Proof. By structure theorem 3, there exist positive integers $e(n) = p_1^{r_1(n)} \cdots p_m^{r_m(n)}$ and c(n) such that $[H^{e(n)}, c(n), G] = \{1\}$. Let $r = r(n) = \max\{r_1(n), \ldots, r_m(n)\}$. Using $[H, G] \leq H^p$ and standard properties of powerfully embedded subgroups, we see that $[H_{r(n)}, G] \leq H^{p^{r(n)}}$ It follows that

$$[H_{,r(n)+c(n)} G] \leq [H^{p^{r(n)}}_{,c(n)} G] = \{1\}.$$