

Left 3-Engel elements in groups

Gunnar Traustason

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Bath

Ischia Group Theory 2018

Left 3-Engel elements in groups

1. Introduction.
2. n -Engel groups and elements.
3. Left 3-Engel elements.

1. Introduction

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

(a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n x] = 1$.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, {}_n x] = 1$.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, {}_n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n t] = 1$.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, n t] = 1$.

If n can be chosen independently of x, y then we talk about **n -Engel**, **right n -Engel** and **left n -Engel**.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, {}_n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n t] = 1$.

If n can be chosen independently of x, y then we talk about **n -Engel**, **right n -Engel** and **left n -Engel**.

Remarks.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, n t] = 1$.

If n can be chosen independently of x, y then we talk about **n -Engel**, **right n -Engel** and **left n -Engel**.

Remarks.

- (a) G locally nilpotent $\implies G$ is Engel.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, n t] = 1$.

If n can be chosen independently of x, y then we talk about **n -Engel**, **right n -Engel** and **left n -Engel**.

Remarks.

- (a) G locally nilpotent $\implies G$ is Engel.
- (b) $t \in Z_\infty(G) \implies t$ right Engel

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, n t] = 1$.

If n can be chosen independently of x, y then we talk about **n -Engel**, **right n -Engel** and **left n -Engel**.

Remarks.

- (a) G locally nilpotent $\implies G$ is Engel.
- (b) $t \in Z_\infty(G) \implies t$ right Engel
- (c) $t \in \text{HP}(G) \implies t$ left Engel.

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, n t] = 1$.

If n can be chosen independently of x, y then we talk about **n -Engel**, **right n -Engel** and **left n -Engel**.

Remarks.

- (a) G locally nilpotent $\implies G$ is Engel.
- (b) $t \in Z_\infty(G) \implies t$ right Engel
- (c) $t \in \text{HP}(G) \implies t$ left Engel.

Converse not true in general (Golod's examples)

1. Introduction

Burnside(1901). G of exponent 3 $\implies x^y x = x x^y$.

$$x^y x = x x^y \Leftrightarrow [y, x, x] = 1.$$

Definition. Let $t \in G$.

- (a) G is **Engel** if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $n = n(x, y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n x] = 1$.
- (b) t is **right Engel** if for all $x \in G$ there exists $n = n(x)$ s.t. $[t, {}_n x] = 1$.
- (c) t is **left Engel** if for all $y \in G$ there exists $n = n(y)$ s.t. $[y, {}_n t] = 1$.

If n can be chosen independently of x, y then we talk about **n -Engel**, **right n -Engel** and **left n -Engel**.

Remarks.

- (a) G locally nilpotent $\implies G$ is Engel.
- (b) $t \in Z_\infty(G) \implies t$ right Engel
- (c) $t \in \text{HP}(G) \implies t$ left Engel.

Converse not true in general (Golod's examples) but holds for groups satisfying max (Baer) and solvable groups (Gruenberg).

Groups of exponent 2^n . Let G be a group of exponent 2^n . If $a \in G$ and $a^2 = 1$, then a is left $(n + 1)$ -Engel.

Groups of exponent 2^n . Let G be a group of exponent 2^n . If $a \in G$ and $a^2 = 1$, then a is left $(n + 1)$ -Engel.



Groups of exponent 2^n . Let G be a group of exponent 2^n . If $a \in G$ and $a^2 = 1$, then a is left $(n + 1)$ -Engel.



Remark 1. For n large enough (Lysenok, Ivanov) we have that there are left n -Engel elements that are not in $\text{HP}(G)$.

Groups of exponent 2^n . Let G be a group of exponent 2^n . If $a \in G$ and $a^2 = 1$, then a is left $(n + 1)$ -Engel.



Remark 1. For n large enough (Lysenok, Ivanov) we have that there are left n -Engel elements that are not in $HP(G)$.

Remark 2. Let G be a group of exponent 8. If

$$a \in L_4(G) \Rightarrow a \in HP(G)$$

then G is locally finite.

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element.
(Heineken, 1960)

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element.
(Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element.
(Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element.
(Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

G is 2-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is nilpotent ≤ 3 . (Burnside, Hopkins, ...)

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element. (Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

G is 2-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is nilpotent ≤ 3 . (Burnside, Hopkins, ...)

G is 3-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Heineken, 1961)

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element. (Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

G is 2-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is nilpotent ≤ 3 . (Burnside, Hopkins, ...)

G is 3-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Heineken, 1961)

G is 4-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Havas and Vaughan-Lee, 2005)

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element. (Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

G is 2-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is nilpotent ≤ 3 . (Burnside, Hopkins, ...)

G is 3-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Heineken, 1961)

G is 4-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Havas and Vaughan-Lee, 2005)

Right n -Engel elements.

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element. (Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

G is 2-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is nilpotent ≤ 3 . (Burnside, Hopkins, ...)

G is 3-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Heineken, 1961)

G is 4-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Havas and Vaughan-Lee, 2005)

Right n -Engel elements.

t right 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow t \in Z(G)$.

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element. (Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

G is 2-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is nilpotent ≤ 3 . (Burnside, Hopkins, ...)

G is 3-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Heineken, 1961)

G is 4-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Havas and Vaughan-Lee, 2005)

Right n -Engel elements.

t right 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow t \in Z(G)$.

t right 2-Engel $\Rightarrow t$ left 2-Engel. The right 2-Engel elements form a characteristic subgroup. (Levi 1941, Kappe 1961)

2. n -Engel groups and elements.

Remark. Every right n -Engel element is a left $(n + 1)$ -Engel element. (Heineken, 1960)

n -Engel groups.

G is 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian.

G is 2-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is nilpotent ≤ 3 . (Burnside, Hopkins, ...)

G is 3-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Heineken, 1961)

G is 4-Engel $\Rightarrow G$ is locally nilpotent. (Havas and Vaughan-Lee, 2005)

Right n -Engel elements.

t right 1-Engel $\Leftrightarrow t \in Z(G)$.

t right 2-Engel $\Rightarrow t$ left 2-Engel. The right 2-Engel elements form a characteristic subgroup. (Levi 1941, Kappe 1961)

t right 3-Engel $\Rightarrow \langle t \rangle^G$ nilpotent of class ≤ 3 . (Newell, 1996)

3. Left 3-Engel elements

3. Left 3-Engel elements

Theorem 1 (Abdollahi, 2004). If $a \in G$ is left 3-Engel of p -power order then $a^p \in \text{HP}(G)$. Any two left 3-Engel elements generate a subgroup that is nilpotent of class at most 4

3. Left 3-Engel elements

Theorem 1 (Abdollahi, 2004). If $a \in G$ is left 3-Engel of p -power order then $a^p \in \text{HP}(G)$. Any two left 3-Engel elements generate a subgroup that is nilpotent of class at most 4

Remark. $a \in G$ is left 3-Engel if and only if $\langle a, a^g \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 2 for all $g \in G$

3. Left 3-Engel elements

Theorem 1 (Abdollahi, 2004). If $a \in G$ is left 3-Engel of p -power order then $a^p \in \text{HP}(G)$. Any two left 3-Engel elements generate a subgroup that is nilpotent of class at most 4

Remark. $a \in G$ is left 3-Engel if and only if $\langle a, a^g \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 2 for all $g \in G$

Definition. A **sandwich group** is a group G generated by a set X of elements such that $\langle x, y^g \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 2 for all $x, y \in X$ and all $g \in G$.

3. Left 3-Engel elements

Theorem 1 (Abdollahi, 2004). If $a \in G$ is left 3-Engel of p -power order then $a^p \in \text{HP}(G)$. Any two left 3-Engel elements generate a subgroup that is nilpotent of class at most 4

Remark. $a \in G$ is left 3-Engel if and only if $\langle a, a^g \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 2 for all $g \in G$

Definition. A sandwich group is a group G generated by a set X of elements such that $\langle x, y^g \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 2 for all $x, y \in X$ and all $g \in G$.

TFAE:

- (1) Left 3 Engel elements are always contained in the $\text{HP}(G)$.
- (2) Every finitely generated sandwich group is nilpotent.

Proposition (T, 2014). Every 3-generator sandwich group is nilpotent.

Proposition (T, 2014). Every 3-generator sandwich group is nilpotent.

Theorem 2 (T, 2014). Let G be a group of exponent 5. Then every left 3-Engel element is in $\text{HP}(G)$.

Proposition (T, 2014). Every 3-generator sandwich group is nilpotent.

Theorem 2 (T, 2014). Let G be a group of exponent 5. Then every left 3-Engel element is in $\text{HP}(G)$.

Theorem 3 (Jabara and T, 2018). Let G be any group and t a left 3-Engel element of odd order. Then $t \in \text{HP}(G)$.

Proposition (T, 2014). Every 3-generator sandwich group is nilpotent.

Theorem 2 (T, 2014). Let G be a group of exponent 5. Then every left 3-Engel element is in $\text{HP}(G)$.

Theorem 3 (Jabara and T, 2018). Let G be any group and t a left 3-Engel element of odd order. Then $t \in \text{HP}(G)$.

Reduction (Tracey and T, 2018). In order to extend this to left 3-Engel elements of any order, it suffices to deal with the case when $t^2 = 1$.

Proposition (T, 2014). Every 3-generator sandwich group is nilpotent.

Theorem 2 (T, 2014). Let G be a group of exponent 5. Then every left 3-Engel element is in $HP(G)$.

Theorem 3 (Jabara and T, 2018). Let G be any group and t a left 3-Engel element of odd order. Then $t \in HP(G)$.

Reduction (Tracey and T, 2018). In order to extend this to left 3-Engel elements of any order, it suffices to deal with the case when $t^2 = 1$.

Theorem 4 (Tracey and T, 2018). Let G be any group and t an involution that is a left 3-Engel element. If furthermore $\langle t \rangle^G$ has no elements of order 8, then $t \in HP(G)$.

Proposition (T, 2014). Every 3-generator sandwich group is nilpotent.

Theorem 2 (T, 2014). Let G be a group of exponent 5. Then every left 3-Engel element is in $HP(G)$.

Theorem 3 (Jabara and T, 2018). Let G be any group and t a left 3-Engel element of odd order. Then $t \in HP(G)$.

Reduction (Tracey and T, 2018). In order to extend this to left 3-Engel elements of any order, it suffices to deal with the case when $t^2 = 1$.

Theorem 4 (Tracey and T, 2018). Let G be any group and t an involution that is a left 3-Engel element. If furthermore $\langle t \rangle^G$ has no elements of order 8, then $t \in HP(G)$.

Corollary. Let G be any group and t a left 3-Engel element of order not divisible by 8. Then $t \in HP(G)$.

Question 1. Let S be the largest sandwich group of rank 4, generated by involutions. Is $S/\gamma_\infty(S)$ nilpotent?

Question 1. Let S be the largest sandwich group of rank 4, generated by involutions. Is $S/\gamma_\infty(S)$ nilpotent?

Question 2. Let G be a group of exponent 8. Are all the left 3-Engel elements in G in $\text{HP}(G)$?

Question 1. Let S be the largest sandwich group of rank 4, generated by involutions. Is $S/\gamma_\infty(S)$ nilpotent?

Question 2. Let G be a group of exponent 8. Are all the left 3-Engel elements in G in $\text{HP}(G)$?

This research was supported by a grant from EPSRC