# Explicit Examples of Algebraically Closed Groups

Mahmut Kuzucuoğlu

Middle East Technical University

Department of Mathematics, Ankara, TURKEY matmah@metu.edu.tr

#### **ISCHIA GROUP THEORY 2020/2021**

March 23, 2021 25-26 March 2021 This is a joint work with Burak Kaya and Otto H. Kegel.

A group *G* is said to be **existentially closed** (algebraically closed) if every finite system

$$u_i(g_1,\ldots,g_q,x_1,\ldots,x_r) = 1$$
  
$$v_j(g_1,\ldots,g_q,x_1,\ldots,x_r) \neq 1$$

of equations and in-equations in variables  $x_i$  and the group elements  $g_j$  which has a solution in a group  $H \ge G$  already has a solution in G. \_

are

Examples of equations and inequations.

$$x' = g$$
 or  $g^{-1}x_1^4g_2x_3^2g_3^{10} = 1$  or  
 $g^{-1}x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2 = 1,$   $g_0^{-3}x_1^2g_1^5x_2^{-4}x_3^2g_2 = 1$   
equations and

-

Examples of equations and inequations.

$$x^7 = g$$
 or  $g^{-1}x_1^4g_2x_3^2g_3^{10} = 1$  or  
 $g^{-1}x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2 = 1$ ,  $g_0^{-3}x_1^2g_1^5x_2^{-4}x_3^2g_2 = 1$  are equations and

$$x_1^{-1}g_2x_6^{-1}x_1g_9x_5
eq 1$$
 and  $x^{121}g^{-1}
eq 1$ 

are in-equations with coefficients from the group G where  $g_i, g \in G$ .

Not every equation or in-equation is solvable in overgroup  $H \ge G$ .

Not every equation or in-equation is solvable in overgroup  $H \ge G$ .

As an example; let *a* and *b* be two elements of different orders, say *n* and *m*. Then the equation  $x^{-1}ax = b$  has no solution in any overgroup containing *a* and *b*. Similarly Not every equation or in-equation is solvable in overgroup  $H \ge G$ .

As an example; let *a* and *b* be two elements of different orders, say *n* and *m*. Then the equation  $x^{-1}ax = b$  has no solution in any overgroup containing *a* and *b*. Similarly

$$x^5 = 1, x^4 = 1, x \neq 1$$

the system has no solution over any group.

The existence of existentially closed groups is established in [1].

William R. Scott, Algebraically closed groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 118–121. The existence of existentially closed groups is established in [1].

William R. Scott, Algebraically closed groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 118–121.

After Scott's paper algebraically closed groups are studied by many group theorists, nowadays they are called as **existentially closed groups**.

**Question**. What are the properties of existentially closed groups?

**Question**. What are the properties of existentially closed groups?

Theorem 1 (Scott [1])

Every group can be embedded in an existentially closed group.

**Question**. What are the properties of existentially closed groups?

Theorem 1 (Scott [1])

Every group can be embedded in an existentially closed group.

Moreover the order of the existentially closed group is larger of  $\aleph_0$  and |G|.

**Question**. What are the properties of existentially closed groups?

Theorem 1 (Scott [1])

Every group can be embedded in an existentially closed group.

Moreover the order of the existentially closed group is larger of  $\aleph_0$  and |G|.

In particular there are existentially closed groups of any given infinite cardinality.

**Question**. What are the properties of existentially closed groups?

Theorem 1 (Scott [1])

Every group can be embedded in an existentially closed group.

Moreover the order of the existentially closed group is larger of  $\aleph_0$  and |G|.

In particular there are existentially closed groups of any given infinite cardinality.

But we will see in coming slides that, this is not true for  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups.

Theorem 2 (B. H. Neumann [1])

There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  pairwise non-isomorphic 2-generator groups.

Using this result B. H. Neumann proved:

Theorem 2 (B. H. Neumann [1])

There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  pairwise non-isomorphic 2-generator groups.

Using this result B. H. Neumann proved:

#### Theorem 3 (B. H. Neumann [1])

There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  mutually non-isomorphic countable existentially closed groups.

Theorem 2 (B. H. Neumann [1])

There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  pairwise non-isomorphic 2-generator groups.

Using this result B. H. Neumann proved:

Theorem 3 (B. H. Neumann [1])

There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  mutually non-isomorphic countable existentially closed groups.

The following beautiful argument is due to B. H. Neumann.

There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  mutually non-isomorphic 2-generator groups and each by Theorem 1 can be embedded in a countable existentially closed group. There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  mutually non-isomorphic 2-generator groups and each by Theorem 1 can be embedded in a countable existentially closed group.

But each countable group contains only countably many pairs of elements and thus only countably many 2-generator groups.

- There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  mutually non-isomorphic 2-generator groups and each by Theorem 1 can be embedded in a countable existentially closed group.
- But each countable group contains only countably many pairs of elements and thus only countably many 2-generator groups.
- Hence  $2^{\aleph_0}$  countable existentially closed groups are needed to accommodate all 2-generator groups.

An existentially closed group is simple.

An existentially closed group is simple.

B. H. Neumann proved the followings in [4]:

An existentially closed group is simple.

B. H. Neumann proved the followings in [4]:

Theorem 5 (B. H. Neumann)

An existentially closed group can not be finitely generated.

An existentially closed group is simple.

B. H. Neumann proved the followings in [4]:

Theorem 5 (B. H. Neumann)

An existentially closed group can not be finitely generated.

The proof of this is quite interesting and short. Let's have a look at the proof.

Let G be an existentially closed group. Let  $X = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  be a finite subset of G. We can solve the equations

$$x^{-1}g_1x = g_1, x^{-1}g_2x = g_2, x^{-1} \dots = x^{-1}g_nx = g_n, x \neq 1$$

in the direct product  $G \times H$  where H is a non-trivial group and hence in G.

Let G be an existentially closed group. Let  $X = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  be a finite subset of G. We can solve the equations

$$x^{-1}g_1x = g_1, x^{-1}g_2x = g_2, x^{-1} \dots = x^{-1}g_nx = g_n, x \neq 1$$

in the direct product  $G \times H$  where H is a non-trivial group and hence in G.

So every finitely generated subgroup has a non-trivial centralizer.

Let G be an existentially closed group. Let  $X = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  be a finite subset of G. We can solve the equations

$$x^{-1}g_1x = g_1, x^{-1}g_2x = g_2, x^{-1} \dots = x^{-1}g_nx = g_n, x \neq 1$$

in the direct product  $G \times H$  where H is a non-trivial group and hence in G.

So every finitely generated subgroup has a non-trivial centralizer.

But an existentially closed group is simple.

Let G be an existentially closed group. Let  $X = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  be a finite subset of G. We can solve the equations

$$x^{-1}g_1x = g_1, x^{-1}g_2x = g_2, x^{-1} \dots = x^{-1}g_nx = g_n, x \neq 1$$

in the direct product  $G \times H$  where H is a non-trivial group and hence in G.

So every finitely generated subgroup has a non-trivial centralizer.

But an existentially closed group is simple.

So G is not finitely generated.

The generalization of Existentially closed groups namely  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups are indicated in the paper of Scott [1].

The generalization of Existentially closed groups namely  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups are indicated in the paper of Scott [1].

 $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups are the analogs of existentially closed groups, allowing the number of equations and the number of in-equations to be infinite.

**Definition.** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A group G with  $|G| \ge \kappa$  is called  $\kappa$ -**existentially closed** if every system of less than  $\kappa$ -many equations and in-equations with coefficients in G which has a solution in some overgroup  $H \ge G$  already has a solution in G.

Observe that existentially closed groups defined by Scott are  $\aleph_0$ -existentially closed groups.

Observe that existentially closed groups defined by Scott are  $\aleph_0$ -existentially closed groups.

The following Lemma will be used to characterize the  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups.

Observe that existentially closed groups defined by Scott are  $\aleph_0$ -existentially closed groups.

The following Lemma will be used to characterize the  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups.

#### Lemma 6 (Kegel-K, 2018)

If  $\kappa$  is uncountable and G is a  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group, then isomorphic copy of every group A of order  $|A| < \kappa$  is contained in G.

Moreover if  $\kappa$  is uncountable, then isomorphic copy of every group of order  $\kappa$  is contained in **G**.
We give the following characterization of  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups.

We give the following characterization of  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups.

#### Proposition 7 (Kegel-K, 2018)

Let G be a group and  $\kappa$  be an uncountable cardinal. Then G is  $\kappa$ -existentially closed if and only if (i) G contains an isomorphic copy of every group of cardinality less than  $\kappa$ , and (ii) every isomorphism between two subgroups of G of cardinality less than  $\kappa$  is induced by an inner automorphism of G. B. H. Neumann in [4] stated that "However, no algebraically closed group is explicitly known, the existence proof being highly non-constructive. This stem in part from the fact that there is no useful criterion known that tells one what sentences are or are not consistent over a given group". B. H. Neumann in [4] stated that "However, no algebraically closed group is explicitly known, the existence proof being highly non-constructive. This stem in part from the fact that there is no useful criterion known that tells one what sentences are or are not consistent over a given group".

In this talk, we will give [1], explicit examples of existentially closed groups for large cardinals. In particular we answer the more general question; existence of explicit examples of  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups. Hence we answer Neumann's question in a more general case, positively. The main ingredient of the construction of an existentially closed groups is the Cayley's Theorem.

#### Lemma 8 (P. Hall)

If K and  $K^*$  are isomorphic finite subgroups of G, then the right regular representations r(K) and  $r(K^*)$  are conjugate in Sym(G).

#### Lemma 8 (P. Hall)

If K and  $K^*$  are isomorphic finite subgroups of G, then the right regular representations r(K) and  $r(K^*)$  are conjugate in Sym(G).

Observe that the above Lemma implies that the image under r of any two elements of the same order are conjugate in the symmetric group, Sym(G).

### Lemma 8 (P. Hall)

If K and  $K^*$  are isomorphic finite subgroups of G, then the right regular representations r(K) and  $r(K^*)$  are conjugate in Sym(G).

Observe that the above Lemma implies that the image under r of any two elements of the same order are conjugate in the symmetric group, Sym(G). But as you know; inside the symmetric group any two elements of order 2 may not be conjugate.

$$(1,2)$$
 and  $(1,2)(3,4)$ 

are two elements of order 2 in  $S_4$ , so

### (1,2) and (1,2)(3,4)

are two elements of order 2 in  $S_4$ , so

### $A \cong B$

But A and B are **not** conjugate in Sym(4).

$$(1,2)$$
 and  $(1,2)(3,4)$ 

are two elements of order 2 in  $S_4$ , so

### $A \cong B$

But A and B are **not** conjugate in Sym(4).

The reason is that the cycle type of these elements are not equal.

$$(1,2)$$
 and  $(1,2)(3,4)$ 

are two elements of order 2 in  $S_4$ , so

### $A \cong B$

But A and B are **not** conjugate in Sym(4).

The reason is that the cycle type of these elements are not equal.

One may observe that the image of these elements under right regular representation r are conjugate.

# Construction of explicit example of existentially Closed Groups

Construction of explicit example of existentially Closed Groups is as follows:

### Construction of explicit example of existentially Closed Groups

Construction of explicit example of existentially Closed Groups is as follows:

Let  $\kappa$  be any infinite regular cardinal. We may start with an arbitrary group  $G_0$  of countably infinite order. Embed  $G_0$ into  $Sym(G_0) = G_1$  by right regular representation. Then embed  $G_1$  into  $Sym(G_1) = G_2$  again by right regular representation, continue like this, for limit ordinals  $\beta$  let  $G_{\beta} = \bigcup G_{i}$ . We continue until we reach the group  $G_{\kappa}$ .  $i < \beta$ 

Then the group  $G_{\kappa}$  is  $\kappa$ -existentially closed.

### Construction of explicit example of existentially Closed Groups

Construction of explicit example of existentially Closed Groups is as follows:

Let  $\kappa$  be any infinite regular cardinal. We may start with an arbitrary group  $G_0$  of countably infinite order. Embed  $G_0$ into  $Sym(G_0) = G_1$  by right regular representation. Then embed  $G_1$  into  $Sym(G_1) = G_2$  again by right regular representation, continue like this, for limit ordinals  $\beta$  let  $G_{\beta} = \bigcup G_{i}$ . We continue until we reach the group  $G_{\kappa}$ .  $i < \beta$ Then the group  $G_{\kappa}$  is  $\kappa$ -existentially closed. The reason is the following Proposition:

#### Proposition 9 (Kegel-K, 2018)

Let G be a group and  $\kappa$  be an uncountable cardinal. Then G is  $\kappa$ -existentially closed if and only if (i) G contains an isomorphic copy of every group of cardinality less than  $\kappa$ , and (ii) every isomorphism between two subgroups of G of cardinality less than  $\kappa$  is induced by an inner automorphism of G.

# Construction of explicit example of existentially Closed Groups

- Since every  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group is an  $\aleph_0$ -existentially closed group, the above examples of  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups are examples of  $\aleph_0$ -existentially closed groups.
- This answers the B. H. Neumann's question positively.

### Corollary 10 (GCH)

Let  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  be uncountable cardinals. Then there exists a  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\lambda$  if and only if  $cf(\lambda) \geq \kappa$ .

### Corollary 10 (GCH)

Let  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  be uncountable cardinals. Then there exists a  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\lambda$  if and only if  $cf(\lambda) \geq \kappa$ .

For an infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the cofinality of  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $cf(\lambda)$ .

### Corollary 10 (GCH)

Let  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  be uncountable cardinals. Then there exists a  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\lambda$  if and only if  $cf(\lambda) \geq \kappa$ .

For an infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the cofinality of  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $cf(\lambda)$ .

In particular, if  $\lambda$  is a successor cardinal, then there exists a  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

### Corollary 10 (GCH)

Let  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  be uncountable cardinals. Then there exists a  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\lambda$  if and only if  $cf(\lambda) \geq \kappa$ .

For an infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the cofinality of  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $cf(\lambda)$ .

In particular, if  $\lambda$  is a successor cardinal, then there exists a  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

Moreover there exists no  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\kappa$  for singular cardinals.

So by the above Corollary, we determine for which cardinals  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ , there exists  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

What can we say about the uniqueness of such groups?

So by the above Corollary, we determine for which cardinals  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ , there exists  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

What can we say about the uniqueness of such groups?

We prove in [2, Theorem 2.7] that for an uncountable  $\kappa$ , any two  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups of cardinality  $\kappa$  are isomorphic.

So by the above Corollary, we determine for which cardinals  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ , there exists  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

What can we say about the uniqueness of such groups?

We prove in [2, Theorem 2.7] that for an uncountable  $\kappa$ , any two  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups of cardinality  $\kappa$  are isomorphic.

This is one of the differences between  $\aleph_0$ -existentially closed groups and  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups. As B. H. Neumann proved there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$ -existentially closed countable groups.

### **Open Question** Let $\kappa$ be not an inaccessible cardinal. Does there exist an explicit example of $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality $\kappa$ ?

### **Question** What can we say about the automorphism groups of $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups?

- **Question** What can we say about the automorphism groups of  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups?
- We have seen in the explicit examples of  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups that the construction has  $\kappa$  levels.

**Definition.** Let G be a group. An automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$  is called  $\kappa$ -inner if for every subgroup  $X \subseteq G$  with  $|X| < \kappa$ , there exists an element  $g \in G$  such that  $\iota_g(x) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition.** Let G be a group. An automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$  is called  $\kappa$ -inner if for every subgroup  $X \subseteq G$  with  $|X| < \kappa$ , there exists an element  $g \in G$  such that  $\iota_g(x) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Let  $\kappa$ -Inn(G) denote the set of all  $\kappa$ -inner automorphisms of G.

**Definition.** Let G be a group. An automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$  is called  $\kappa$ -inner if for every subgroup  $X \subseteq G$  with  $|X| < \kappa$ , there exists an element  $g \in G$  such that  $\iota_g(x) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\kappa$ -Inn(G) denote the set of all  $\kappa$ -inner automorphisms of G.

We clearly have

$$Inn(G) \trianglelefteq \kappa \text{-} Inn(G) \trianglelefteq Aut(G)$$

**Definition.** Let G be a group. An automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$  is called  $\kappa$ -inner if for every subgroup  $X \subseteq G$  with  $|X| < \kappa$ , there exists an element  $g \in G$  such that  $\iota_g(x) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\kappa$ -Inn(G) denote the set of all  $\kappa$ -inner automorphisms of G.

We clearly have

### $Inn(G) \trianglelefteq \kappa \text{-} Inn(G) \trianglelefteq Aut(G)$

Moreover, the inclusion on right is indeed an equality for  $\kappa$ -existentially closed groups.

#### Proposition 11

Let  $\kappa$  be uncountable and let G be  $\kappa$ -existentially closed. Then every automorphism of G is  $\kappa$ -inner. i.e.  $\kappa$ -Inn(G) = Aut(G). We now introduce the notion of a level preserving automorphism. Let  $C \subseteq \kappa$ . An automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$ is said to be *C*-level preserving if

$$\varphi[G_{\alpha}] = G_{\alpha}$$

for all  $\alpha \in C$ .

We now introduce the notion of a level preserving automorphism. Let  $C \subseteq \kappa$ . An automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$ is said to be *C*-level preserving if

$$\varphi[G_{\alpha}] = G_{\alpha}$$

for all  $\alpha \in C$ .

We shall denote the set of C-level preserving automorphisms of G by  $Aut_C(G)$ .

We now introduce the notion of a level preserving automorphism. Let  $C \subseteq \kappa$ . An automorphism  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$ is said to be *C*-level preserving if

$$\varphi[G_{\alpha}] = G_{\alpha}$$

for all  $\alpha \in C$ .

We shall denote the set of C-level preserving automorphisms of G by  $Aut_C(G)$ .

We clearly have  $Aut_{\emptyset}(G) = Aut(G)$  and

 $Aut_C(G) \leqslant Aut_D(G)$  whenever  $D \subseteq C$
## Corollary 12

Let  $\kappa$  be inaccessible and let K be the unique  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\kappa$ , which (necessarily) is a limit of regular representations of length  $\kappa$  with countable base. Then

$$Aut(K) = \bigcup_{\substack{C \subseteq \kappa \\ C \text{ is club}}} Aut_C(K) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} Aut_{\{\alpha\}}(K)$$

## Corollary 13

Let  $\kappa$  be inaccesible and let K be the unique  $\kappa$ -existentially closed group of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Then we have  $|Aut(K)| = 2^{\kappa}$ .

THANK YOU

## Bibliography

- B. Kaya, O. H. Kegel and M. Kuzucuğlu, On the existence of κ-existentially closed groups, Arch. Math. 111, 225–229 (2018).
- Otto H. Kegel and Mahmut Kuzucuğlu, κ-existentially closed groups, J. Algebra, (2018) 499, 298-310.
- B. Kaya, and M. Kuzucuğlu, Automorphisms of κ-existentially closed groups, submitted. https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.15167.
- B. H. Neumann, The isomorphism problem for algebraically closed groups, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Math., Vol. 71, 553–562, (1973).

- B. H. Neumann, *Identical relations in groups I*, Math. Ann. **114** (1937). 506-525.
- B. H. Neumann, Some remarks on infinite groups, J. London Math. Soc. **12** (1937).122–127.
- W. R. Scott, Algebraically closed groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 118−121 (1951).