

Generalized nilpotency properties for subgroup lattices of groups

Carmen Musella

Ischia Group Theory 20-21

2021, March 26 th



“Solving a problem without telling it, without discussing its nature with a friend, means losing most of the taste for Mathematics” (Ennio De Giorgi)

- The central theme of the lattice group theory is the relation between the structure of a group and the structure of its lattice of subgroups
- Many group theorists have worked in this area: Baer, Sadovskii, Suzuki, Zacher, Schmidt
- There have been many contributions from the whole Neapolitan school, starting with Mario Curzio and then his pupils

We denote by $L(G)$ the subgroup lattice of a group G

- Given a class of groups X , what can we say about the subgroup lattices of groups in X ?
- Given a class of lattices N , what can we say about the groups G such that $L(G)$ is in N ?

We denote by $L(G)$ the subgroup lattice of a group G

- Given a class of groups X , what can we say about the subgroup lattices of groups in X ?
- Given a class of lattices N , what can we say about the groups G such that $L(G)$ is in N ?

The oldest result of this type is the following beautiful Theorem (Ore 1938). The group G is locally cyclic if and only if $L(G)$ is distributive.

It follows that a group G is cyclic if and only if $L(G)$ is distributive and it satisfies the maximal condition

If G and \bar{G} are groups, an isomorphism from $L(G)$ in $L(\bar{G})$ is called a **projectivity** from G to \bar{G}

Which classes of groups are invariant under projectivities?

By Ore's Theorem, the class of locally cyclic groups is invariant under projectivities

If G and \bar{G} are groups, an isomorphism from $L(G)$ in $L(\bar{G})$ is called a **projectivity** from G to \bar{G}

Which classes of groups are invariant under projectivities?

By Ore's Theorem, the class of locally cyclic groups is invariant under projectivities

There are important classes of groups that are not invariant under projectivities

If G and \bar{G} are groups, an isomorphism from $L(G)$ in $L(\bar{G})$ is called a **projectivity** from G to \bar{G}

Which classes of groups are invariant under projectivities?

By Ore's Theorem, the class of locally cyclic groups is invariant under projectivities

There are important classes of groups that are not invariant under projectivities

The elementary abelian group of order 9 and the nonabelian group of order 6 have isomorphic subgroup lattices

The translation method

There is a rather natural way for trying to obtain a lattice-theoretic characterization of a class X of groups.

The translation method

There is a rather natural way for trying to obtain a lattice-theoretic characterization of a class X of groups.

We take a suitable definition of the groups in X and replace concepts appearing in it by lattice-theoretic concepts that are equivalent to them or nearly so

The translation method

There is a rather natural way for trying to obtain a lattice-theoretic characterization of a class X of groups.

We take a suitable definition of the groups in X and replace concepts appearing in it by lattice-theoretic concepts that are equivalent to them or nearly so

for instance: finite group by finite lattice

Modular subgroups

Many classes of groups are defined via properties of normal subgroups.

To decide whether such a class is invariant under projectivities, the study of projective images of normal subgroups is crucial

.

Modular subgroups

Many classes of groups are defined via properties of normal subgroups.

To decide whether such a class is invariant under projectivities, the study of projective images of normal subgroups is crucial

A subgroup M of a group G is called **modular** if M is a modular element in $L(G)$, i.e. if M satisfies the modular identity

.

Modular subgroups

Many classes of groups are defined via properties of normal subgroups.

To decide whether such a class is invariant under projectivities, the study of projective images of normal subgroups is crucial

A subgroup M of a group G is called **modular** if M is a modular element in $L(G)$, i.e. if M satisfies the modular identity

Every normal subgroup N of G is modular in G and for every projectivity ϕ of G , N^ϕ is a modular subgroup of G^ϕ

.

Modular subgroups

Many classes of groups are defined via properties of normal subgroups.

To decide whether such a class is invariant under projectivities, the study of projective images of normal subgroups is crucial

A subgroup M of a group G is called **modular** if M is a modular element in $L(G)$, i.e. if M satisfies the modular identity

Every normal subgroup N of G is modular in G and for every projectivity ϕ of G , N^ϕ is a modular subgroup of G^ϕ

At least for finite groups, modularity is a good translation of normality .

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 1968) A finite group G is soluble if and only if there exists a chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \cdots \leq M_n = G$$

of modular subgroups of G such that $[M_{i+1}/M_i]$ is modular, for every $i < n$

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 1968) A finite group G is soluble if and only if there exists a chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \cdots \leq M_n = G$$

of modular subgroups of G such that $[M_{i+1}/M_i]$ is modular, for every $i < n$

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 1968) A finite group G is supersoluble if and only if there exists a chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \cdots \leq M_n = G$$

of modular subgroups of G such that $[M_{i+1}/M_i]$ is distributive, for every $i < n$

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 1968) A finite group G is soluble if and only if there exists a chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \cdots \leq M_n = G$$

of modular subgroups of G such that $[M_{i+1}/M_i]$ is modular, for every $i < n$

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 1968) A finite group G is supersoluble if and only if there exists a chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \cdots \leq M_n = G$$

of modular subgroups of G such that $[M_{i+1}/M_i]$ is distributive, for every $i < n$

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 1969) If M is a maximal proper modular subgroup of a finite group G , then either M is normal in G or G/M_G is nonabelian of order pq for primes p and q .

Modular groups

A group G is called modular (or M -group) if every subgroup of G is modular in G .

Obviously, any abelian group is modular.

Modular groups

A group G is called modular (or M -group) if every subgroup of G is modular in G .

Obviously, any abelian group is modular.

On the other hand, there exist also infinite simple M -groups, like for instance Tarski groups (i.e. infinite simple groups all of whose proper non-trivial subgroups have prime order)

Modular groups

A group G is called modular (or M -group) if every subgroup of G is modular in G .

Obviously, any abelian group is modular.

On the other hand, there exist also infinite simple M -groups, like for instance Tarski groups (i.e. infinite simple groups all of whose proper non-trivial subgroups have prime order)

The situation is much more complicated for infinite groups

Permodular groups

While in the subgroup lattice of a finite group, modular elements are used to approximate normal subgroups,

•

Permodular groups

While in the subgroup lattice of a finite group, modular elements are used to approximate normal subgroups, the Tarski groups show that this is not reasonable in infinite groups, in which a better translation of normality is obtained with the so-called permodular subgroups (introduced by Zacher)

.

Permodular groups

While in the subgroup lattice of a finite group, modular elements are used to approximate normal subgroups, the Tarski groups show that this is not reasonable in infinite groups, in which a better translation of normality is obtained with the so-called permodular subgroups (introduced by Zacher)

A **permodular subgroup** M is a modular subgroup with the following additional property:

.

Permodular groups

While in the subgroup lattice of a finite group, modular elements are used to approximate normal subgroups, the Tarski groups show that this is not reasonable in infinite groups, in which a better translation of normality is obtained with the so-called permodular subgroups (introduced by Zacher)

A **permodular subgroup** M is a modular subgroup with the following additional property:

(*) for every cyclic subgroup X in G and $H \leq G$ such that $M \leq H \leq \langle M, X \rangle$ and the interval $[\langle M, X \rangle / H]$ is finite, then the index $|\langle M, X \rangle : H|$ is finite

Since cyclic subgroups of G (by Ore's theorem) and the finiteness of the index of a subgroup (by a theorem of Zacher-Rips) can be recognized in the subgroup lattice, then (*) is a lattice-theoretic property.

Every normal subgroup is permodular

Every normal subgroup is permodular

Even every permutable subgroup X of a group G (i.e. a subgroup such that $XH = HX$ for every subgroup H of G) is permodular

Permodular lattice

A lattice L is permodular if every its element is modular and for all a, b in L such that $b \leq a$, $[a/b]$ is a finite lattice whenever it has finite length

Permodular lattice

A lattice L is permodular if every its element is modular and for all a, b in L such that $b \leq a$, $[a/b]$ is a finite lattice whenever it has finite length

$L(G)$ is permodular if and only if every subgroup of G is permodular

Translation of centrality

A subgroup C of a group G is contained in $Z(G)$ if and only if $\langle x, C \rangle$ is abelian for all $x \in G$

Translation of centrality

A subgroup C of a group G is contained in $Z(G)$ if and only if $\langle x, C \rangle$ is abelian for all $x \in G$

Replacing commutativity by permodularity in this property, a subgroup M of a group G is said to be **permodularly embedded** in G if

Translation of centrality

A subgroup C of a group G is contained in $Z(G)$ if and only if $\langle x, C \rangle$ is abelian for all $x \in G$

Replacing commutativity by permodularity in this property, a subgroup M of a group G is said to be **permodularly embedded** in G if

the subgroup lattice of $\langle x, M \rangle$ is permodular for each element x of G

Translation of centrality

A subgroup C of a group G is contained in $Z(G)$ if and only if $\langle x, C \rangle$ is abelian for all $x \in G$

Replacing commutativity by permodularity in this property, a subgroup M of a group G is said to be **permodularly embedded** in G if

the subgroup lattice of $\langle x, M \rangle$ is permodular for each element x of G

The first to use this idea, in 1954, were Kontorovič and Plotkin to introduce the concept of a **permodular chain** as translation of central series of groups

The definition of permodular chain is done in a general (complete) lattice and it is quite technical. For groups, substantially we have:

a permodular chain of a group G is a chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \dots \leq M_n = G$$

of permodular subgroups of G such that for any $x \in G$ the interval $[\langle M_{i+1}, x \rangle / M_i]$ is permodular for every $i < n$ (M_{i+1} is permodularly embedded in $[G/M_i]$, for every $i < n$)

The definition of permodular chain is done in a general (complete) lattice and it is quite technical. For groups, substantially we have:

a permodular chain of a group G is a chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \dots \leq M_n = G$$

of permodular subgroups of G such that for any $x \in G$ the interval $[\langle M_{i+1}, x \rangle / M_i]$ is permodular for every $i < n$ (M_{i+1} is permodularly embedded in $[G/M_i]$, for every $i < n$)

Kontorovič and Plotkin used this notion to obtain a lattice-theoretic characterization of the class of torsion-free nilpotent groups

Nilpotency

In the general case the situation is not so good.

Nilpotency

In the general case the situation is not so good.

But we can examine the properties that emerge if we apply the usual process to a given characterization of nilpotency

Nilpotency

In the general case the situation is not so good.

But we can examine the properties that emerge if we apply the usual process to a given characterization of nilpotency

for instance to Wielandt's theorem: every maximal subgroup of a finite group G is normal if and only if G is nilpotent

Nilpotency

In the general case the situation is not so good.

But we can examine the properties that emerge if we apply the usual process to a given characterization of nilpotency

for instance to Wielandt's theorem: every maximal subgroup of a finite group G is normal if and only if G is nilpotent

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 1970) Every maximal subgroup of a finite group G is modular if and only if G is supersoluble and induces an automorphism group of order 1 or prime in every complemented chief factor of G

Clearly, for a finite group G also the condition that every maximal subgroup of every subgroup H is normal in H , is equivalent to nilpotency.

Clearly, for a finite group G also the condition that every maximal subgroup of every subgroup H is normal in H , is equivalent to nilpotency.

If we translate this, we obtain the condition that every maximal subgroup of every subgroup H is modular in H .

Clearly, for a finite group G also the condition that every maximal subgroup of every subgroup H is normal in H , is equivalent to nilpotency.

If we translate this, we obtain the condition that every maximal subgroup of every subgroup H is modular in H .

This is equivalent to the following:

if $K, M \leq G$ and M is maximal in $\langle M, K \rangle$, then $M \cap K$ is maximal in K

Clearly, for a finite group G also the condition that every maximal subgroup of every subgroup H is normal in H , is equivalent to nilpotency.

If we translate this, we obtain the condition that every maximal subgroup of every subgroup H is modular in H .

This is equivalent to the following:

if $K, M \leq G$ and M is maximal in $\langle M, K \rangle$, then $M \cap K$ is maximal in K

The groups with that property are called lower semimodular and were characterized by Ito

Theorem (Ito, 1951) A finite group G is lower semimodular if and only if G is supersoluble and induces an automorphism group of order 1 or prime in every chief factor of G .

The relation with groups with a (per)modular chain is established in the following result:

The relation with groups with a (per)modular chain is established in the following result:

Theorem (R. Schmidt, 2013) If G is a finite group with a modular chain, then G is lower semimodular.

A lattice translation of Schur theorem

A famous theorem of Schur states that if the centre of a group G has finite index in G , then the commutator subgroup G' of G is finite (and so G has a finite normal subgroup with abelian factor group)

A lattice translation of Schur theorem

A famous theorem of Schur states that if the centre of a group G has finite index in G , then the commutator subgroup G' of G is finite (and so G has a finite normal subgroup with abelian factor group)

(M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C.M. 2008) Let G be a group containing a permodularly embedded subgroup of finite index. Then G has a finite normal subgroup N such that the subgroup lattice of G/N is modular.

A lattice translation of Schur theorem

A famous theorem of Schur states that if the centre of a group G has finite index in G , then the commutator subgroup G' of G is finite (and so G has a finite normal subgroup with abelian factor group)

(M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C.M. 2008) Let G be a group containing a permodularly embedded subgroup of finite index. Then G has a finite normal subgroup N such that the subgroup lattice of G/N is modular.

It follows that any projective image \bar{G} of a central-by-finite group G contains a finite normal subgroup \bar{N} with modular factor group

Groups with a n -centre of finite index

Let G be a group such that a term $Z_n(G)$ of the upper central series has finite index in G , for some integer n

Groups with a n -centre of finite index

Let G be a group such that a term $Z_n(G)$ of the upper central series has finite index in G , for some integer n

By a well-known theorem of Baer, the term $\gamma_{n+1}(G)$ of the lower central series of G is finite, so that G is nilpotent modulo a finite normal subgroup

Groups with a n -centre of finite index

Let G be a group such that a term $Z_n(G)$ of the upper central series has finite index in G , for some integer n

By a well-known theorem of Baer, the term $\gamma_{n+1}(G)$ of the lower central series of G is finite, so that G is nilpotent modulo a finite normal subgroup

If $G/Z_n(G)$ is finite, from a lattice point of view G verifies the following property:

Groups with a n -centre of finite index

Let G be a group such that a term $Z_n(G)$ of the upper central series has finite index in G , for some integer n

By a well-known theorem of Baer, the term $\gamma_{n+1}(G)$ of the lower central series of G is finite, so that G is nilpotent modulo a finite normal subgroup

If $G/Z_n(G)$ is finite, from a lattice point of view G verifies the following property:

(B) There exists a finite chain

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \cdots \leq M_n$$

of permodular subgroups of G such that each M_{i+1} is permodularly embedded in the interval $[G/M_i]$ and M_n has finite index in G .

Theorem (M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C.M., 2020) Let G be a group satisfying the property (B). Then the following conditions hold:

- (i) All periodic sections of G are locally finite.
- (ii) The set T consisting of all elements of G of finite order is a subgroup.
- (iii) The factor group G/T is nilpotent of class at most n .

It is easy to see that if $|G : Z_n(G)|$ is finite, G contains only finitely many maximal non-normal subgroups, since $Z_n(G)$ is contained in the intersection $\Delta(G)$ of all maximal non-normal subgroups of G . In particular $\Delta(G)$ has finite index in G .

It is easy to see that if $|G : Z_n(G)|$ is finite, G contains only finitely many maximal non-normal subgroups, since $Z_n(G)$ is contained in the intersection $\Delta(G)$ of all maximal non-normal subgroups of G . In particular $\Delta(G)$ has finite index in G .

In our study of groups satisfying property (B), plays a central role the intersection $\theta(G)$ of all maximal non-modular subgroups

It is easy to see that if $|G : Z_n(G)|$ is finite, G contains only finitely many maximal non-normal subgroups, since $Z_n(G)$ is contained in the intersection $\Delta(G)$ of all maximal non-normal subgroups of G . In particular $\Delta(G)$ has finite index in G .

In our study of groups satisfying property (B), plays a central role the intersection $\theta(G)$ of all maximal non-modular subgroups

Denoted by $\Phi(G)$ the Frattini subgroup of a group G , we have:

It is easy to see that if $|G : Z_n(G)|$ is finite, G contains only finitely many maximal non-normal subgroups, since $Z_n(G)$ is contained in the intersection $\Delta(G)$ of all maximal non-normal subgroups of G . In particular $\Delta(G)$ has finite index in G .

In our study of groups satisfying property (B), plays a central role the intersection $\theta(G)$ of all maximal non-modular subgroups

Denoted by $\Phi(G)$ the Frattini subgroup of a group G , we have:

Let G be a group. Then $\theta(G)/\Phi(G)$ is metabelian and for every complemented abelian chief factor H/K of G such that $H \leq \theta(G)$, the factor H/K has prime order and G induces on it a group of automorphisms of order 1 or prime.

If G has finitely many maximal non-modular subgroups, then $\theta(G)$ has finite index in G .

Theorem (M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C. M., 2020) A group G has finitely many maximal non-modular subgroups if and only if G contains a normal subgroup of finite index L satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $L/\Phi(G)$ is metabelian;
- (2) for every complemented chief factor H/K of G such that $\Phi(G) \leq K$ and $H \leq L$, the factor H/K has prime order and G induces on it a group of automorphisms of order 1 or prime.

The relation with groups satisfying property (B) is established in the following results

The relation with groups satisfying property (B) is established in the following results

Theorem (M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C.M., 2020) If

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \dots \leq M_n$$

is a chain of permodular subgroups of G such that each M_{i+1} is permodularly embedded in the interval $[G/M_i]$ and M_n is normal in G , then M_n is contained in $\theta(G)$.

The relation with groups satisfying property (B) is established in the following results

Theorem (M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C.M., 2020) If

$$\{1\} = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \dots \leq M_n$$

is a chain of permodular subgroups of G such that each M_{i+1} is permodularly embedded in the interval $[G/M_i]$ and M_n is normal in G , then M_n is contained in $\theta(G)$.

Theorem (M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C. M., 2020) Let G be a group satisfying the property (B). Then G has finitely many maximal non-modular subgroups.

Theorem (M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C. M., 2020) Let G be a finitely generated group satisfying the property (B). Then $\gamma_{n+1}(G)$ is finite.

As consequence, we have established the invariance under projectivities of the class of finitely generated groups with a n -th centre of finite index.

Theorem (M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C. M., 2020) Let G be a finitely generated group satisfying the property (B). Then $\gamma_{n+1}(G)$ is finite.

As consequence, we have established the invariance under projectivities of the class of finitely generated groups with a n -th centre of finite index.

Corollary Let G be a finitely generated group such that $G/Z_n(G)$ is finite. Then any projective image \bar{G} of G has the same property.

