A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE QUATERNIONS USING COMMUTATORS

Yoav Segev, Ben-Gurion university joint work with Erwin Kleinfeld

> Ischia group theory 2022 June 24, 2022

> > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

OUTLINE

1 INTRODUCTION.

2 PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへで

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

Let *D* be a quaternion division algebra over a field \mathbb{F} . Thus $D = \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$, with $i^2, j^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, and k = ij = -ji.

A pure quaternion is an element $p \in D$ such that $p \in \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$.

It is easy to check that $p^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, for a pure quaternion p, and that given $x, y \in D$, the commutator (x, y) = xy - yx is a pure quaternion.

In this talk we show that this characterizes the quaternion division algebras. (Note, we do not assume finite dimensionality.)

Let *D* be a quaternion division algebra over a field \mathbb{F} . Thus $D = \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$, with $i^2, j^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, and k = ij = -ji.

A pure quaternion is an element $p \in D$ such that $p \in \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$.

It is easy to check that $p^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, for a pure quaternion p, and that given $x, y \in D$, the commutator (x, y) = xy - yx is a pure quaternion.

In this talk we show that this characterizes the quaternion division algebras. (Note, we do not assume finite dimensionality.)

Let *D* be a quaternion division algebra over a field \mathbb{F} . Thus $D = \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$, with $i^2, j^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, and k = ij = -ji.

A pure quaternion is an element $p \in D$ such that $p \in \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$.

It is easy to check that $p^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, for a pure quaternion p, and that given $x, y \in D$, the commutator (x, y) = xy - yx is a pure quaternion.

In this talk we show that this characterizes the quaternion division algebras. (Note, we do not assume finite dimensionality.)

Let *D* be a quaternion division algebra over a field \mathbb{F} . Thus $D = \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$, with $i^2, j^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, and k = ij = -ji.

A pure quaternion is an element $p \in D$ such that $p \in \mathbb{F}i + \mathbb{F}j + \mathbb{F}k$.

It is easy to check that $p^2 \in \mathbb{F}$, for a pure quaternion p, and that given $x, y \in D$, the commutator (x, y) = xy - yx is a pure quaternion.

In this talk we show that this characterizes the quaternion division algebras. (Note, we do not assume finite dimensionality.)

MAIN THEOREM.

Let R be an associative ring with **1** which is not commutative such that

- (I) A non-zero commutator in R is not a divisor of zero in R;
- (II) $(x, y)^2 \in C$, for all $x, y \in R$, where C is the center of R.

Then

1 R contains no divisors of zero.

If, in addition, the characteristic of R is not 2, then the localization of R at C is a quaternion division algebra, whose center is the fraction field of C.

We note that if $x, y \in R$ are non-zero elements such that xy = 0, then we say that both x and y are zero divisors in R.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Proof of the main theorem

In this section R is an associative ring with **1** which is not commutative. We denote by C the center of R. We assume that:

- (1) Non-zero commutators are not divisors of zero in *R*.
- (2) Squares of commutators in R are in C.

LEMMA 1.

If $0 \neq c \in C$, then c is not a zero divisor in R.

PROOF.

Suppose cr = 0, and let v := (x, y) be a non-zero commutator. Then (vc)r = 0, but $vc = (x, yc) \neq 0$, hence r = 0.

PROPOSITION 2.

Let $x \in R \setminus C$, and let v = (x, y) be a non-zero commutator. Then

1 v + vx and vx are commutators.

2
$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$
, for some $a, b, c \in C$, with a, c non-zero.

- 3 x is not a divisor of zero in R.
- I R contains no zero divisors.

Proof.

(1) We have
$$v + vx = v(1 + x) = (x, y(1 + x))$$
, and $vx = (x, yx)$.

(2) Let $\alpha := (v + vx)^2 = v^2 + v^2x + vxv + (vx)^2$. Then $\alpha \in C$. We have $\alpha x = (v^2 + (vx)^2)x + v^2x^2 + (vx)^2$. Letting $a := v^2, b := v^2 + (vx)^2 - \alpha$ and $c := (vx)^2$, we see that $a, b, c \in C$, and $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$, with $a \neq 0 \neq c$.

(3) Suppose that xy = 0, for some non-zero $y \in R$, then we immediately get that cy = 0, contradicting Lemma 1. (4) This follows from (3) and Lemma 1.

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV



PROPOSITION 2.

Let $x \in R \setminus C$, and let v = (x, y) be a non-zero commutator. Then

- 1 v + vx and vx are commutators.
- 2 $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$, for some $a, b, c \in C$, with a, c non-zero.
- 3 x is not a divisor of zero in R.
- I R contains no zero divisors.

Proof.

(1) We have
$$v + vx = v(1 + x) = (x, y(1 + x))$$
, and $vx = (x, yx)$.

(2) Let $\alpha := (v + vx)^2 = v^2 + v^2x + vxv + (vx)^2$. Then $\alpha \in C$. We have $\alpha x = (v^2 + (vx)^2)x + v^2x^2 + (vx)^2$. Letting $a := v^2, b := v^2 + (vx)^2 - \alpha$ and $c := (vx)^2$, we see that $a, b, c \in C$, and $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$, with $a \neq 0 \neq c$.

(3) Suppose that xy = 0, for some non-zero y ∈ R, then we immediately get that cy = 0, contradicting Lemma 1.
(4) This follows from (3) and Lemma 1.

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

PROPOSITION 2.

Let $x \in R \setminus C$, and let v = (x, y) be a non-zero commutator. Then

1 v + vx and vx are commutators.

2
$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$
, for some $a, b, c \in C$, with a, c non-zero.

- 3 x is not a divisor of zero in R.
- I R contains no zero divisors.

Proof.

(1) We have
$$v + vx = v(1 + x) = (x, y(1 + x))$$
, and $vx = (x, yx)$.

(2) Let $\alpha := (v + vx)^2 = v^2 + v^2x + vxv + (vx)^2$. Then $\alpha \in C$. We have $\alpha x = (v^2 + (vx)^2)x + v^2x^2 + (vx)^2$. Letting $a := v^2, b := v^2 + (vx)^2 - \alpha$ and $c := (vx)^2$, we see that $a, b, c \in C$, and $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$, with $a \neq 0 \neq c$.

(3) Suppose that xy = 0, for some non-zero $y \in R$, then we immediately get that cy = 0, contradicting Lemma 1.

This follows from (3) and Lemma 1.

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

SOG

PROPOSITION 2.

Let $x \in R \setminus C$, and let v = (x, y) be a non-zero commutator. Then

1 v + vx and vx are commutators.

2
$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$
, for some $a, b, c \in C$, with a, c non-zero.

- 3 x is not a divisor of zero in R.
- I R contains no zero divisors.

Proof.

(1) We have
$$v + vx = v(1 + x) = (x, y(1 + x))$$
, and $vx = (x, yx)$.

(2) Let $\alpha := (v + vx)^2 = v^2 + v^2x + vxv + (vx)^2$. Then $\alpha \in C$. We have $\alpha x = (v^2 + (vx)^2)x + v^2x^2 + (vx)^2$. Letting $a := v^2, b := v^2 + (vx)^2 - \alpha$ and $c := (vx)^2$, we see that $a, b, c \in C$, and $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$, with $a \neq 0 \neq c$.

(3) Suppose that xy = 0, for some non-zero $y \in R$, then we immediately get that cy = 0, contradicting Lemma 1.

(4) This follows from (3) and Lemma 1.

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

REMARK 3.

In view of Proposition 2(4), we can form the localization of R at C, R//C. This is the set of all formal fractions x/c, $x \in R$, $c \in C$, $c \neq 0$, with the obvious definitions: (i) x/c = y/d if and only if dx = cy; (ii) (x/c) + (y/d) = (dx + cy)/(cd); (iii) (x/c)(y/d) = (xy)/(cd). It is easy to check that $r \mapsto r/1$ is an embedding of R into R//Cand that the center of R//C is the fraction field of C. Thus from now on we replace R with R//C and assume that C is a field.

Next we construct a quaternion division algebra within *R*.

LEMMA 4.

- **1** There exists a comutator i := (x, y) which is not in C.
- **2** For *i* as in (1), let j := (i, s) be nonzero. Then ij = -ji.
- Let k := ij. Then Q := C + Ci + Cj + Ck is a quaternion division algebra.

Proof.

(1) Let $x \in R \setminus C$, and let $v := (x, y) \neq 0$. Suppose that $v \in C$, then $vx \notin C$, and vx = (x, yx).

(2) Since $i \notin C$, there is $s \in R$, with $j := (i, s) \neq 0$. But then

$$ij = i(is - si) = i^2 s - isi = -(isi - si^2) = -ji.$$

(3) Since $i^2, j^2 \in C$, and ij = -ji, and since *R* has no zero divisors, part (3) holds.

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

LEMMA 4.

- **1** There exists a comutator i := (x, y) which is not in C.
- **2** For *i* as in (1), let j := (i, s) be nonzero. Then ij = -ji.
- Let k := ij. Then Q := C + Ci + Cj + Ck is a quaternion division algebra.

Proof.

(1) Let $x \in R \setminus C$, and let $v := (x, y) \neq 0$. Suppose that $v \in C$, then $vx \notin C$, and vx = (x, yx).

(2) Since $i \notin C$, there is $s \in R$, with $j := (i, s) \neq 0$. But then

$$ij = i(is - si) = i^2s - isi = -(isi - si^2) = -ji.$$

(3) Since $i^2, j^2 \in C$, and ij = -ji, and since *R* has no zero divisors, part (3) holds.

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

LEMMA 4.

- **1** There exists a comutator i := (x, y) which is not in C.
- **2** For *i* as in (1), let j := (i, s) be nonzero. Then ij = -ji.
- 3 Let k := ij. Then Q := C + Ci + Cj + Ck is a quaternion division algebra.

Proof.

(1) Let $x \in R \setminus C$, and let $v := (x, y) \neq 0$. Suppose that $v \in C$, then $vx \notin C$, and vx = (x, yx).

(2) Since $i \notin C$, there is $s \in R$, with $j := (i, s) \neq 0$. But then

$$ij = i(is - si) = i^2 s - isi = -(isi - si^2) = -ji.$$

(3) Since $i^2, j^2 \in C$, and ij = -ji, and since *R* has no zero divisors, part (3) holds.

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

From now on we let

 $\mathbf{Q} = C + Ci + Cj + Ck$, as in Lemma 4.

PROPOSITION 5.

Assume that char(C) ≠ 2. Then
1 If p ∈ R satisfies

(*) pu + up = d_u ∈ C, for all u ∈ {i, j, k},
then p ∈ Q.

2 If R ≠ Q, then there exists p ∈ R \ Q satisfying (*) above.
3 R=Q.

Notice that (3) is immediate from (1) and (2).

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

If $p \in R$ satisfies (*) $pu + up = d_u \in C$, for all $u \in \{i, j, k\}$, then $p \in \mathbf{Q}$.

Proof.	
(1) Set $m = p - (d_i/2i^2)i - (d_j/2j^2)j - (d_k/2k^2)k.$	
Then	
$mi + im = pi + ip - d_i - (d_j/2j^2)ji - (d_j/2j^2)ij - (d_k/2k^2)ki - (d_k/2k^2)ik = d_k - d_$	0.
Similarly $mj + jm = 0 = mk + km$. But then	
(日)(四)(日)(日)	

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

ъ.

If $p \in R$ satisfies (*) $pu + up = d_u \in C$, for all $u \in \{i, j, k\}$, then $p \in \mathbf{Q}$.

Proof.
(1) Set
$m = p - (d_i/2i^2)i - (d_j/2j^2)j - (d_k/2k^2)k.$
Then
$mi + im = pi + ip - d_i - (d_j/2j^2)ji - (d_j/2j^2)ij - (d_k/2k^2)ki - (d_k/2k^2)ik = 0.$
Similarly $mj + jm = 0 = mk + km$.
But then
0 = mk + km = mij + ijm = 2ijm = 2km.
Since (<i>C</i>) \neq 2, and <i>R</i> has no zero divisors we must have <i>m</i> = 0, so

 $p \in \mathbf{Q}$.

PROOF.

(2) Let $x \in R \setminus Q$. By Proposition 2(2), *x* satisfies a quadratic, and hence a monic quadratic equation $x^2 - bx + c = 0$. Let p := x - b/2. Then $p \notin Q$, and $p^2 \in C$. Let $u \in \{i, j, k\}$. Then both p + u and p - u satisfy a quadratic equation over *C*. That is

 $(p + u)^2 = c_1(p + u) + c_2$ $(p - u)^2 = c_3(p - u) + c_4$

Adding we get

 $(c_1 + c_3)p + (c_1 - c_3)u + c_5 = 0$, where $c_5 = c_2 + c_4 - 2p^2 - 2u^2 \in C$.

Now $c_1 + c_3 = 0$, since $p \notin \mathbf{Q}$, and then $c_1 - c_3 = 0$, since $u \notin C$. We thus get that

$$pu + up = c_2 - p^2 - u^2 \in C.$$



PROOF.

(2) Let $x \in R \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. By Proposition 2(2), *x* satisfies a quadratic, and hence a monic quadratic equation $x^2 - bx + c = 0$. Let p := x - b/2. Then $p \notin \mathbf{Q}$, and $p^2 \in C$. Let $u \in \{i, j, k\}$. Then both p + u and p - u satisfy a quadratic equation over *C*. That is

 $(p + u)^2 = c_1(p + u) + c_2$ $(p - u)^2 = c_3(p - u) + c_4.$

Adding we get

 $(c_1 + c_3)p + (c_1 - c_3)u + c_5 = 0$, where $c_5 = c_2 + c_4 - 2p^2 - 2u^2 \in C$.

Now $c_1 + c_3 = 0$, since $p \notin \mathbf{Q}$, and then $c_1 - c_3 = 0$, since $u \notin C$. We thus get that

$$pu + up = c_2 - p^2 - u^2 \in C.$$



PROOF.

(2) Let $x \in R \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. By Proposition 2(2), *x* satisfies a quadratic, and hence a monic quadratic equation $x^2 - bx + c = 0$. Let p := x - b/2. Then $p \notin \mathbf{Q}$, and $p^2 \in C$. Let $u \in \{i, j, k\}$. Then both p + u and p - u satisfy a quadratic equation over *C*. That is

$$(p + u)^2 = c_1(p + u) + c_2$$

 $(p - u)^2 = c_3(p - u) + c_4$

Adding we get

 $(c_1 + c_3)p + (c_1 - c_3)u + c_5 = 0$, where $c_5 = c_2 + c_4 - 2p^2 - 2u^2 \in C$.

Now $c_1 + c_3 = 0$, since $p \notin \mathbf{Q}$, and then $c_1 - c_3 = 0$, since $u \notin C$. We thus get that

$$pu + up = c_2 - p^2 - u^2 \in C.$$

PROOF.

(2) Let $x \in R \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. By Proposition 2(2), *x* satisfies a quadratic, and hence a monic quadratic equation $x^2 - bx + c = 0$. Let p := x - b/2. Then $p \notin \mathbf{Q}$, and $p^2 \in C$. Let $u \in \{i, j, k\}$. Then both p + u and p - u satisfy a quadratic equation over *C*. That is

$$(p + u)^2 = c_1(p + u) + c_2$$

 $(p - u)^2 = c_3(p - u) + c_4$

Adding we get

 $(c_1 + c_3)p + (c_1 - c_3)u + c_5 = 0$, where $c_5 = c_2 + c_4 - 2p^2 - 2u^2 \in C$.

Now $c_1 + c_3 = 0$, since $p \notin \mathbf{Q}$, and then $c_1 - c_3 = 0$, since $u \notin C$. We thus get that

$$pu+up=c_2-p^2-u^2\in C.$$

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

Proof of the Main Theorem.

Part (1) follows from and Proposition 2(4), and part (2) follows from Proposition 5(3).

Thank you!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV

Proof of the Main Theorem.

Part (1) follows from and Proposition 2(4), and part (2) follows from Proposition 5(3).

Thank you!

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

ERWIN KLEINFELD, YOAV SEGEV