On commuting probability for subgroups of a finite group

Pavel Shumyatsky

University of Brasilia, Brazil

Pavel Shumyatsky On commuting probability for subgroups of a finite group

Part 1: Introduction

The probability that two randomly chosen elements of a finite group G commute is given by

$$Pr(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in G \times G : xy = yx\}|}{|G|^2}$$

The above number is called the *commuting probability* (or the *commutativity degree*) of *G*.

This is a well studied concept. In the literature one can find publications dealing with problems on the set of possible values of Pr(G) and the influence of Pr(G) over the structure of G.

The most famous result: If G is nonabelian, then $Pr(G) \leq 5/8$.

The bound is attained in Q_8 and D_8 .

In 1989 P. M. Neumann proved the following.

Theorem

Let G be a finite group such that $Pr(G) \ge \epsilon$. Then G has a normal subgroup T such that both the index [G : T] and the order |[T, T]| of the commutator subgroup of T are ϵ -bounded.

That is, G is bounded-by-abelian-by-bounded.

If K is a subgroup of G, write

$$Pr(K,G) = \frac{|\{(x,y) \in K \times G : xy = yx\}|}{|K||G|}$$

This is the probability that an element of G commutes with an element of K (the relative commutativity degree of K in G).

This is a natural concept that was studied in a number of papers over the last 20 years.

We have established the following extension of P. M. Neumann's theorem. This is a joint result with Eloisa Detomi (U. of Padova).

Proposition

Let G be a finite group having a subgroup K such that $Pr(K,G) \ge \epsilon$. Then there is a normal subgroup $T \le G$ and a subgroup $B \le K$ such that the indexes [G : T] and [K : B] and the order of the commutator subgroup [T, B] are ϵ -bounded.

The Neumann theorem can be obtained from this in the particular case where K = G.

Some remarks related to the proposition

Remark 1: The subgroup $N = \langle [T, B]^G \rangle$ has ϵ -bounded order.

Proof.

Since [T, B] is normal in T, it follows that there are only boundedly many conjugates of [T, B] in G and they normalize each other. Since N is the product of those conjugates, N has ϵ -bounded order. Remark 2: If K is normal, then B can be chosen normal while the subgroup T can be chosen in such a way that $K \cap T \leq Z_3(T)$.

Proof.

We know that $N = \langle [T, B]^G \rangle$ has ϵ -bounded order. Let $B_0 = \langle B^G \rangle$ and note that $B_0 \leq K$ and $[T, B_0] \leq N$. So we can replace B by B_0 and assume that B is normal in G.

Consider the normal series $1 \le N \le B \le K$.

The centralizers of N and K/B have bounded index in G because |N| and |K/B| have bounded order. The centralizer of B/N has bounded index in G because T centralizes B/N. So we replace T by the intersection of T and the centralizers. Then we will have the property that $K \cap T \leq Z_3(T)$, whence the result.

• • = • • = •

Part 2: Applications

We deduce a number of corollaries of the proposition. In the proposition K can be any subgroup. The interesting cases arise when K is an 'important subgroup', for example, the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$, or a term of the lower central series of G, or a Sylow subgroup, etc.

The generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$.

Recall that the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$ of a finite group G is the product of the Fitting subgroup F(G) and all subnormal quasisimple subgroups; here a group is quasisimple if it is perfect and its quotient by the centre is a non-abelian simple group.

This plays an important role in the "local analysis".

Theorem

Let G be a finite group such that $Pr(F^*(G), G) \ge \epsilon$. Then G has a class-2-nilpotent normal subgroup R such that both the index [G : R] and the order of the commutator subgroup [R, R] are ϵ -bounded.

A somewhat surprising aspect of the above theorem is that the knowledge of the commuting probability of a subgroup (in this case $F^*(G)$) enables one to draw a conclusion about G as strong as in P. M. Neumann's theorem.

The subgroup $F^*(G)$ cannot be replaced here by F(G) unless the group G is soluble.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Idea of the proof.

Set $K = F^*(G)$. There are normal subgroups $T \leq G$ and $B \leq K$ such that the indexes [G:T] and [K:B], and the order of the commutator subgroup [T, B] are ϵ -bounded. As K is normal in G, the subgroup T can be chosen in such a way that $K \cap T < Z_3(T)$. We have $K \cap T = F^*(T)$. Therefore $F^*(T) < Z_3(T)$ and we conclude that $T = F^*(T)$ and so $T \leq K$. It follows that the index of K in G (and therefore the index of B in G) is ϵ -bounded. We know that the subgroup N = [T, B] has ϵ -bounded order. Conclude that $R = T \cap B \cap C_G(N)$ has ϵ -bounded index in G. Moreover R is nilpotent of class at most 2 and [R, R] has ϵ -bounded order. This completes the proof.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Sylow subgroups.

We also consider finite groups with a given value of Pr(P, G), where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

Theorem

Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a finite group G such that $Pr(P, G) \ge \epsilon > 0$. Then G has a class-2-nilpotent normal p-subgroup L such that both the index [P : L] and the order of [L, L] are ϵ -bounded.

Once we have information on the commuting probability of all Sylow subgroups of G, the result is as strong as in P. M. Neumann's theorem.

Theorem

Let G be a finite group such that $Pr(P, G) \ge \epsilon$ whenever P is a Sylow subgroup. Then G has a class-2 nilpotent normal subgroup R such that both the index of R and the order of [R, R] are ϵ -bounded.

Idea of the proof: For each prime $p \in \pi(G)$ choose a Sylow *p*-subgroup S_p in *G*.

The previous theorem shows that G has a normal p-subgroup L_p of class at most 2 such that both $[S_p : L_p]$ and $|[L_p, L_p]|$ are ϵ -bounded. Since the bounds on $[S_p : L_p]$ and $|[L_p, L_p]|$ do not depend on p, it follows that there is an ϵ -bounded constant C such that $S_p = L_p$ and $[L_p, L_p] = 1$ whenever $p \ge C$. Set $R = \prod_{p \in \pi(G)} L_p$. Then all Sylow subgroups of G/R have ϵ -bounded order and therefore the index of R in G is ϵ -bounded. Moreover, R is of class at most 2 and |[R, R]| is ϵ -bounded, as required.

Automorphisms.

If ϕ is an automorphism of a group G, the centralizer $C_{G}(\phi)$ is the subgroup formed by the elements $x \in G$ such that $x^{\phi} = x$. In the case where $C_G(\phi) = 1$ the automorphism ϕ is called fixed-point-free. A famous result of Thompson says that a finite group admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of prime order is nilpotent. Higman proved that for each prime p there exists a number h = h(p) depending only on p such that whenever a nilpotent group G admits a fixed-point-free automorphism of order p, it follows that G is nilpotent of class at most h. Therefore the nilpotency class of a finite group admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of order p is at most h.

• (1) • (

Khukhro obtained the following "almost fixed-point-free" generalisation of this fact:

If a finite group G admits an automorphism ϕ of prime order p such that $C_G(\phi)$ has order m, then G has a nilpotent subgroup of p-bounded nilpotency class and (m, p)-bounded index.

We establish a probabilistic variation of the above results. Recall that an automorphism ϕ of a finite group G is called coprime if $(|G|, |\phi|) = 1$.

Theorem

Let G be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism ϕ of prime order p such that $Pr(C_G(\phi), G) \ge \epsilon$. Then G has a nilpotent subgroup of p-bounded nilpotency class and (ϵ, p) -bounded index.

Idea of the proof: Recall the well-known general fact:

$$C_{G/N}(\phi) = NC_G(\phi)/N$$

for any ϕ -invariant normal subgroup N of G.

Let $K = C_G(\phi)$. We need to show that G has a nilpotent subgroup of p-bounded nilpotency class and (ϵ, p) -bounded index.

The proposition tells us that there is a normal subgroup $T \leq G$ and a subgroup $B \leq K$ such that the indexes [G : T] and [K : B]and the order of the commutator subgroup [T, B] are ϵ -bounded. We can assume that T is ϕ -invariant. Further, we know that $N = \langle [T, B]^G \rangle$ has ϵ -bounded order. Note that N is ϕ -invariant. Set $D = C_G(N) \cap T$ and note that D is ϕ -invariant and has bounded index in G.

Also remark that $B \cap D \leq Z_2(D)$.

(b) A (B) (b) A (B) (b)

Let $\overline{D} = D/Z_2(D)$. In a natural way ϕ induces an automorphism of \overline{D} and we note that $C_{\overline{D}}(\phi)$ has ϵ -bounded order because $B \cap D \leq Z_2(D)$.

The Khukhro theorem now implies that \overline{D} has a nilpotent subgroup of *p*-bounded class and (ϵ, p) -bounded index. Since $\overline{D} = D/Z_2(D)$ and since the index of *D* in *G* is (ϵ, p) -bounded, we deduce that *G* has a nilpotent subgroup of *p*-bounded class and (ϵ, p) -bounded index.

An even stronger conclusion can be derived about groups admitting an elementary abelian group of automorphisms of rank at least 2.

Theorem

Let G be a finite group admitting an elementary abelian coprime group of automorphisms A of order p^2 such that $Pr(C_G(\phi), G) \ge \epsilon > 0$ for each nontrivial $\phi \in A$. Then G has a class-2-nilpotent normal subgroup R such that both the index R and the order of [R, R] are (ϵ, p) -bounded. One informal reason why this holds is that if Q is an A-invariant Sylow subgroup of G, we have

$$Q = \prod_{1 \neq \phi \in \mathcal{A}} C_Q(\phi).$$

Since a random element of $C_Q(\phi)$ commutes with a random element of G with high probability, we deduce that also a random element of Q commutes with a random element of G with high probability, that is, $Pr(Q, G) \ge \epsilon_0$ for some e_0 depending only on pand ϵ .

We can use the well-known fact that A normalizes a Sylow q-subgroup of G for every $q \in \pi(G)$. Now the theorem follows from our results on Sylow subgroups.

THANK YOU!

Pavel Shumyatsky On commuting probability for subgroups of a finite group

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> <同> < 同>

æ