

Published on Journal of Algebra
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.04.035>

Groups in which every non-abelian subgroup is self-centralizing

Costantino Delizia^{a,*}, Heiko Dietrich^{b,1}, Primož Moravec^{c,2}, Chiara Nicotera^a

^a*University of Salerno, Italy*

^b*Monash University, Melbourne, Australia*

^c*University of Ljubljana, Slovenia*

Abstract

We study groups having the property that every non-abelian subgroup contains its centralizer. We describe various classes of infinite groups in this class, and address a problem of Berkovich regarding the classification of finite p -groups with the above property.

Keywords: centralizer, non-abelian subgroup, self-centralizing subgroup

1. Introduction

A subgroup H of a group G is *self-centralizing* if the centralizer $C_G(H)$ is contained in H . Clearly, an abelian subgroup A of G is self-centralizing if and only if $C_G(A) = A$. In particular, the trivial subgroup of G is self-centralizing if and only if G is trivial.

The structure of groups in which many non-trivial subgroups are self-centralizing has been studied in several papers. In [5] it has been proved that a locally graded group (that is, a group in which every non-trivial finitely

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `cdelizia@unisa.it` (Costantino Delizia), `heiko.dietrich@monash.edu` (Heiko Dietrich), `primoz.moravec@fmf.uni-lj.si` (Primož Moravec), `cnicoter@unisa.it` (Chiara Nicotera)

¹Dietrich was supported by an ARC DECRA (Australia), project DE140100088, and by a Go8-DAAD Joint Research Co-operation Scheme, project “Groups of Prime-Power Order and Coclass Theory”.

²Moravec was supported by ARRS (Slovenia), projects P1-0222 and J1-5432.

generated subgroup has a proper subgroup of finite index) in which all non-trivial subgroups are self-centralizing has to be finite; therefore it has to be cyclic of prime order or a non-abelian group whose order is a product of two different primes. The papers [5] and [6] deal with the class \mathfrak{C} of groups in which every non-cyclic subgroup is self-centralizing; in particular, a complete classification of locally finite \mathfrak{C} -groups is given.

In this paper, we study the class \mathfrak{A} of groups in which every non-abelian subgroup is self-centralizing. We note that the class \mathfrak{A} is fairly wide. Clearly, it contains all \mathfrak{C} -groups. It also contains the class of commutative-transitive groups (that is, groups in which the centralizer of each non-trivial element is abelian), see [13]. Moreover, by definition, the class \mathfrak{A} contains all minimal non-abelian groups (that is, non-abelian groups in which every proper subgroup is abelian); in particular, Tarski monsters are \mathfrak{A} -groups.

The structure and main results of the paper are as follows. In Section 2 we derive some basic properties of \mathfrak{A} -groups; these results are crucial for the further investigations in the subsequent sections. In Section 3 we consider infinite nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -groups; for example, we prove that such groups are abelian, which reduces the investigation of nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -groups to finite p -groups in \mathfrak{A} . Infinite supersoluble groups in \mathfrak{A} are classified in Section 4; for example, we prove that if such a group has no element of order 2, then it must be abelian. In Section 5 we discuss some properties of soluble groups in \mathfrak{A} . Lastly, in Section 6, we consider finite \mathfrak{A} -groups, and we derive various characterisations of finite groups in \mathfrak{A} . Motivated by Section 3, we focus on finite p -groups in \mathfrak{A} ; Problem 9 of [1] asks for a classification of such groups. This appears to be hard, as there seem to be many classes of finite p -groups that belong to \mathfrak{A} . We show that all finite metacyclic p -groups are in \mathfrak{A} , and classify the finite p -groups in \mathfrak{A} which have maximal class or exponent p .

2. Basic properties of \mathfrak{A} -groups

We collect some basic properties of \mathfrak{A} -groups. Since every free group lies in \mathfrak{A} , the class \mathfrak{A} is not quotient closed. On the other hand, \mathfrak{A} obviously is subgroup closed. Similarly, the next lemma is an easy observation.

Lemma 2.1. *If G is an \mathfrak{A} -group, then its center $Z(G)$ is contained in every non-abelian subgroup of G .*

As usual, we denote by $\Phi(G)$ the Frattini subgroup of a group G .

Lemma 2.2. *If $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ is non-abelian group, then $Z(G) \leq \Phi(G)$.*

Proof. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G . If M is abelian and $Z(G) \not\leq M$, then $MZ(G) = G$, hence G is abelian, a contradiction. On the other hand, if M is non-abelian, then $Z(G) \leq C_G(M) < M$. In conclusion, $Z(G)$ lies in every maximal subgroup of G , thus $M \leq \Phi(G)$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *If $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ is infinite, then every normal subgroup of G is abelian or infinite.*

Proof. Let $H \trianglelefteq G$ be non-abelian. If H is finite, then so is $C_G(H) < H$, and $|G| = [G : C_G(H)]|C_G(H)| \leq |\text{Aut}(H)||C_G(H)| < \infty$, a contradiction. \square

The next three results will be of particular importance in Section 4. Recall that a group element is aperiodic if its order is infinite.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$. If N is a finite normal subgroup of G , then $C_G(N)$ contains all aperiodic elements of G .*

Proof. Let x be an aperiodic element of G . Suppose, for a contradiction, that $x \notin C_G(N)$. Since $G/C_G(N)$ embeds into the finite group $\text{Aut}(N)$, it is finite. Thus, there exists a positive integer n such that $x^n \in C_G(N)$. Let p be a prime number which does not divide n , so that $x^p \notin C_G(N)$. Then $N\langle x^p \rangle$ is non-abelian, hence $C_G(N\langle x^p \rangle) < N\langle x^p \rangle$. Since $x^n \in C_G(N\langle x^p \rangle)$, there exist $s \in N$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x^n = s(x^p)^\alpha$. Now $s = x^{n-p\alpha} \in N \cap \langle x \rangle = \{1\}$ yields $x^{n-p\alpha} = 1$, hence $n = p\alpha$, contradicting our choice of p . \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$. If G is generated by aperiodic elements, then every finite normal subgroup of G is central.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let $a \in G$ be non-trivial of infinite or odd order. If $a^x = a^{-1}$ for some $x \in G$, then x is periodic and its order is a power of 2. Moreover, $C_G(\langle a, x \rangle) = \langle x^2 \rangle$.*

Proof. If x is aperiodic, then $\langle a, x^3 \rangle$ is non-abelian, hence $C_G(\langle a, x^3 \rangle) < \langle a, x^3 \rangle = \langle a \rangle \langle x^3 \rangle$. Since $x^2 \in C_G(\langle a, x^3 \rangle)$, we can write $x^2 = a^\alpha (x^3)^\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since x^2 commutes with x , we must have $a^\alpha = a^{-\alpha}$, and $a^\alpha = 1$ follows. Then $x^2 = x^{3\beta}$, which yields the contradiction $2 = 3\beta$. This proves that x must be periodic, say with order n . Suppose n is divisible by an odd prime p . Since $\langle a, x^p \rangle$ is not abelian, $x^2 \in C_G(\langle a, x^p \rangle) < \langle a, x^p \rangle = \langle a \rangle \langle x^p \rangle$.

As before, we can write $x^2 = x^{p\beta}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is, $p\beta = 2 + cn$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. But this is not possible since p is odd and dividing n .

Let now $g \in C_G(\langle a, x \rangle)$. Since $\langle a, x \rangle$ is non-abelian, $C_G(\langle a, x \rangle) < \langle a, x \rangle = \langle a \rangle \langle x \rangle$. Then we can write $g = a^\alpha x^\beta$ for suitable $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $g = g^x = a^{-\alpha} x^\beta$, hence $a^{2\alpha} = 1$ and so $a^\alpha = 1$, that is, $g = x^\beta$. Since $a = a^g = a^{(x^\beta)}$, it follows that β is even, and therefore $g \in \langle x^2 \rangle$. This completes the proof. \square

The following results are easy, but useful, observations.

Lemma 2.7. *A group G is in the class \mathfrak{A} if and only if $C_G(\langle x, y \rangle) < \langle x, y \rangle$ for every pair of non-commuting elements $x, y \in G$.*

Corollary 2.8. *A finite group G is in the class \mathfrak{A} if and only if $C_G(K) < K$ for every minimal non-abelian subgroup K of G .*

3. Infinite nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -groups

Every abelian group lies in \mathfrak{A} , thus, compared with \mathfrak{C} -groups, the structure of \mathfrak{A} -groups which are soluble or nilpotent is less restricted. Our first result reduces the study of nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -groups to finite nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -groups.

Theorem 3.1. *Every nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -group is abelian or finite.*

Proof. First let G be an \mathfrak{A} -group of class $c = 2$; we will show that G is finite. If H is any non-abelian subgroup of G , then $G' \leq Z(G) < H$ and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Therefore G is metahamiltonian, and hence G' is a finite p -group (see, for instance, [8, Theorem 2.1]). Let $a, b \in G$ with $[a, b] \neq 1$, and put $H = \langle a, b \rangle$. Since $G \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $C_G(H) = C_G(a) \cap C_G(b) = Z(H)$. Thus

$$|G : Z(H)| = |G : (C_G(a) \cap C_G(b))| \leq |G : C_G(a)| |G : C_G(b)| \leq |G'|^2,$$

so $|G : Z(H)|$ is finite. Since $H' \leq G'$ is finite, in order to prove that G is finite it suffices to show that $|Z(H) : H'|$ is finite. For every positive integer n coprime to p we get $[a^n, b^n] = [a, b]^{n^2} \neq 1$, and so $Z(H) \leq \langle a^n, b^n \rangle$. It follows that

$$Z(H)/H' \subseteq \bigcap_{\gcd(n,p)=1} \langle a^n, b^n \rangle H' / H' = \bigcap_{\gcd(n,p)=1} (H/H')^n,$$

which is obviously finite.

Now consider an \mathfrak{A} -group G of nilpotency class $c > 2$ and use induction on c . Suppose, for a contradiction, that G is infinite. For all $a \in G \setminus G'$, the nilpotency class of $\langle a \rangle G'$ is less than c . If $\langle a \rangle G'$ is abelian for all $a \in G \setminus G'$, then $G' \leq Z(G)$ and $c \leq 2$, which is a contradiction to our assumption that $c > 2$. Thus, $H = \langle a \rangle G'$ is non-abelian for some $a \in G \setminus G'$; by the induction hypothesis, H is finite. This contradicts Lemma 2.3, thus G is finite. \square

Let G be a Chernikov p -group, that is, G is an extension of a direct product of a finite number k of Prüfer p -groups by a finite group; the number $\delta(G) = k$ is an invariant of G .

Proposition 3.2. *If G is a non-abelian infinite locally nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -group, then G is a Chernikov p -group with $\delta(G) \geq p - 1$.*

Proof. Every finitely generated subgroup of G is nilpotent, so by Theorem 3.1 it is either abelian or finite. This implies that all torsion-free elements of G are central. It follows from [4, Proposition 1]) that G is periodic, hence G is direct product of groups of prime-power order, see for instance [12, Proposition 12.1.1]. In fact, only one prime can occur since G is an \mathfrak{A} -group, that is, G is a locally finite p -group for some prime p . Since G is non-abelian, there exist non-commuting $a, b \in G$. The subgroup $H = \langle a, b \rangle$ is finite and non-abelian, thus $C_G(H) < H$ is finite and G has finite Prüfer rank by [7, Theorem 5]. By a result of Blackburn [3], the group G is a Chernikov p -group. Finally, $\delta(G) \geq p - 1$ by a result of Chernikov, see for instance [3]. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 3.2 and [12, 12.2.5] we get the following corollary; recall that a group is hypercentral if its upper central series terminates at the whole group.

Corollary 3.3. *Every locally nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -group is hypercentral.*

Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 reduce the study of nilpotent \mathfrak{A} -groups to finite p -groups; we provide some results on these groups in Section 6.

4. Infinite supersoluble \mathfrak{A} -groups

A group is supersoluble if it admits a normal series with cyclic sections. In this section we describe the structure of infinite supersoluble \mathfrak{A} -groups completely. If such a group has no involutions, then it must be abelian.

Theorem 4.1. *An infinite supersoluble \mathfrak{A} -group with no involutions is abelian.*

Proof. Let G be an infinite supersoluble \mathfrak{A} -group without elements of even order. Then the set T of all periodic elements in G is a finite subgroup of G by [12, 5.4.9]. As $T < G$, it easily follows that G is generated by its aperiodic elements, hence $T \leq Z(G)$ by Corollary 2.5. By a result of Zappa (see for instance [12, 5.4.8]), the quotient group G/T has a finite series

$$G_0/T = T/T \leq G_1/T \leq \cdots \leq G_s/T \leq G/T,$$

where each G_i is normal in G , each G_{j+1}/G_j is infinite cyclic, and G/G_s is a finite 2-group. We claim that each $G_i \leq Z(G)$. Since $G_0 = T$, this is true for $i = 0$. By induction on i , let us assume that $G_i \leq Z(G)$ with $0 \leq i \leq s - 1$; then $G_{i+1} = \langle a \rangle G_i$ where $\langle a \rangle$ is infinite. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $G_{i+1}/G_i \not\leq Z(G/G_i)$. Then $(G/G_i)/C_{G/G_i}(G_{i+1}/G_i)$ has order 2, hence there exists $x \in G$ such that $a^x G_i = a^{-1} G_i$. It follows that $a^x = a^{-1} y$ for a suitable $y \in G_i$, hence $a^{(x^2)} = (a^{-1} y)^{-1} y = a$ and $a^{(x^2)} = a$. Now it follows from $1 = [a, x^2] = [a, x][a, x]^x$ that $[a, x]^x = [a, x]^{-1}$, and therefore $[a, x] = 1$ by Lemma 2.6, a contradiction. This shows that $G_{i+1}/G_i \leq Z(G/G_i)$, hence $G_{i+1} \leq Z_2(G)$. It follows readily that $\langle x \rangle G_{i+1}$ is nilpotent for all $x \in G$. Since G_{i+1} is infinite, $\langle x \rangle G_{i+1}$ is abelian by Theorem 3.1. This means that $G_{i+1} \leq C_G(x)$ for all $x \in G$, so $G_{i+1} \leq Z(G)$, and the claim is proved. In particular, $G_s \leq Z(G)$. Since G/G_s is a finite 2-group (hence nilpotent), it follows that G is nilpotent. Hence G is abelian by Theorem 3.1. \square

Now we consider infinite supersoluble \mathfrak{A} -groups with involutions.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a non-abelian infinite supersoluble group. Then G lies in \mathfrak{A} if and only if the following holds: $G = A\langle x \rangle$ with x of order 2^n and $A = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_t \rangle \times \langle d \rangle$ abelian with each a_i of infinite or odd order, d of order 2^h (with $d^{(2^{h-1})} = x^{(2^{n-1})}$ if $h > 0$), and $a^x = a^{-1}$ for all $a \in A$.*

Proof. Let G be an infinite supersoluble \mathfrak{A} -group which is not abelian. By Zappa's theorem (see [12, 5.4.8]), there exists a non-trivial normal subgroup C of G such that C has no involutions and G/C is a finite 2-group. Thus C is infinite, and therefore it is abelian by Theorem 4.1. So G/C is non-trivial.

We claim that if $x \in G \setminus C_G(C)$ and $x^2 \in C_G(C)$, then $a^x = a^{-1}$ for all $a \in C$. Indeed, from $x \notin C_G(C)$ it follows that there exists $c \in C$ such that $c^x \neq c$. Since $x^2 \in C_G(C)$ we get $1 = [c, x^2] = [c, x]^x [c, x]$, hence $[c, x]^x = [c, x]^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.6, the order of x is a power of 2 and $C_G(\langle [c, x], x \rangle) \leq \langle x^2 \rangle$. Since $(cc^x)^x = cc^x$ it follows that $cc^x \in C_G(\langle [c, x], x \rangle)$. Thus $cc^x \in \langle x^2 \rangle \cap C$, hence

$cc^x = 1$ and $c^x = c^{-1}$. Now let $a \in C$. Since $a^{(x^2)} = a$, we get $(aa^x)^x = aa^x$ and $aa^x \in C_G(\langle c, x \rangle) \leq \langle x^2 \rangle$. Therefore $aa^x \in C \cap \langle x^2 \rangle = \{1\}$. Thus $a^x = a^{-1}$ and the claim is proved. Notice that this implies $Z(G) \leq \langle x^2 \rangle$.

Since G is supersoluble, there exists a G -invariant subgroup S of C which is infinite cyclic, say $S = \langle s \rangle$. Then $C_G(C) \leq C_G(S)$. Suppose there exists $x \in C_G(S) \setminus C_G(C)$. Note that $G/C_G(C)$ is a finite 2-group. Replacing x by a suitable power, we may assume that $x^2 \in C_G(C)$. But then the same argument as in the previous paragraph shows that $s^x = s^{-1}$, a contradiction. Thus $C_G(C) = C_G(S)$, and since S is infinite cyclic, the group $G/C_G(C)$ is easily seen to be cyclic of order two.

Since $C_G(C)/C$ is a finite 2-group, hence nilpotent, it follows that $C_G(C)$ is nilpotent. Thus $C_G(C)$ is abelian by Theorem 3.1. Write $B = C_G(C)$ and choose $x \in G$ so that $G = B\langle x \rangle$. As seen above, x has order 2^n for some $n \geq 1$, and $a^x = a^{-1}$ for all $a \in C$. Since G/B has order 2 we get $x^2 \in B$, and so $x^2 \in Z(G)$. Therefore $Z(G) = \langle x^2 \rangle$.

Our next aim is to prove that for all $a \in B$ there exists an integer α with $a^x = a^{-1}x^{4\alpha}$. Let $a \in B$ and $c \in C \setminus \{1\}$. From $a^{(x^2)} = a$ it follows that $(aa^x)^x = aa^x$, hence $aa^x \in C_G(\langle c, x \rangle) \leq \langle x^2 \rangle$. Thus $aa^x = x^\beta$ for some even integer $\beta = 2\gamma$. If $n = 1$ then $x^\beta = 1$, so $a^x = a^{-1}$ and the claim is proved. Thus it remains to consider the case $n > 1$. If γ is odd, then $(ax)^2 = axax = x^{2(1+\gamma)} \in \langle x^4 \rangle$. If $c \in C \setminus \{1\}$, then $c^{ax} = c^{-1}$, hence by Lemma 2.6 we get $C_G(\langle c, ax \rangle) \leq \langle (ax)^2 \rangle \leq \langle x^4 \rangle$. On the other hand $x^2 \in C_G(\langle c, ax \rangle)$, so $x^2 = 1$, a contradiction since $n > 1$. This proves that γ is even, so 4 divides β , as required. Therefore $\beta = 4\alpha$ for some integer α .

Now we consider $A = \{c \in B \mid c^x = c^{-1}\}$, which is a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup of G . If $a \in B$, then $a^x = a^{-1}x^{4\alpha}$, and $(ax^{-2\alpha})^x = (ax^{-2\alpha})^{-1}$ proves $ax^{-2\alpha} \in A$, hence $a \in A\langle x \rangle$. This means that $G = B\langle x \rangle = A\langle x \rangle$. Write $A = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_t \rangle \times D$, where each a_i has infinite or odd order and D is a finite 2-group. If D is non-trivial and $y \in D$ has order 2, then $y^x = y$, hence $y \in Z(G)$; this implies that D has a unique element of order 2. Since D is abelian, this means that D is cyclic, say $D = \langle d \rangle$. Let 2^h be the order of d . If $h > 0$, then $d^{(2^{h-1})} = x^{(2^{h-1})}$, hence the structure of G is as required.

For the converse, let $G = A\langle x \rangle$ be as in the statement. Then $B = \langle x^2 \rangle A$ is abelian and $|G : B| = 2$. Thus, for every non-abelian $H \leq G$ there exists $y = x^i c \in H$ with i odd and $c \in A$. Since $G = B\langle y \rangle$, we have $C_G(y) = C_B(y)\langle y \rangle = Z(G)\langle y \rangle = \langle x^2, y \rangle$. Note that $y^2 = x^{2i}$, and so $\langle y^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle$. Thus $C_G(H) \leq C_G(y) = \langle y \rangle \leq H$, hence $G \in \mathfrak{A}$, as desired. \square

5. On soluble \mathfrak{A} -groups

We now briefly discuss soluble groups in \mathfrak{A} ; we start with a result on the Fitting subgroup of an infinite soluble \mathfrak{A} -group.

Theorem 5.1. *If $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ is infinite, then the Fitting subgroup of G is abelian.*

Proof. Suppose the Fitting subgroup F of G is non-abelian, and let $a, b \in F$ be non-commuting. Now $H = \langle a \rangle^G \langle b \rangle^G \trianglelefteq G$ is normal, nilpotent, and non-abelian, so H is finite by Theorem 3.1; this contradicts Lemma 2.3. \square

In [6] it has been proved that every infinite soluble \mathfrak{C} -group is metabelian. However, it is not possible to bound the derived length of soluble \mathfrak{A} -groups, even in the torsion-free case. For example, the standard wreath product of n copies of the infinite cyclic group is a finitely generated soluble commutative-transitive group, and therefore an \mathfrak{A} -group, with derived length n , see [13, Corollary 18]. Torsion-free polycyclic \mathfrak{C} -groups are abelian, see [6]; this result is no longer true for \mathfrak{A} -groups.

Example 5.2. Let $F = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ be free abelian of rank 2 and $G = F \rtimes \langle c \rangle$, where c is the automorphism of F defined by $a^c = ab$ and $b^c = a$. Clearly, $\langle c \rangle$ acts fixed-point-freely on F , hence G is commutative-transitive, see [13, Lemma 7]. Thus, G is a torsion-free polycyclic \mathfrak{A} -group of derived length 2.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ be soluble. If G has a non-abelian subnormal subgroup which is polycyclic, then G is polycyclic.*

Proof. If $H \leq G$ is non-abelian, polycyclic, and subnormal in G , then $C_G(H) < H$ is polycyclic, and the result follows by [7, Theorem 2.1]. \square

Recall that an element g of a group G is a right Engel element if for each $x \in G$ there exists a positive integer n such that the left-normed commutator $[g, {}_n x] = 1$. Let $R(G)$ denote the set of all right Engel elements of G . It is shown in [12, 12.3.2 (ii)] that $R(G)$ contains the hypercenter of G ; on the other hand, it is well known that $R(G)$ may be larger than the hypercenter of G even when G is soluble.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ be locally soluble. If G has a non-abelian finite subgroup which is contained in $R(G)$, then G is locally finite.*

Proof. Let $H \leq R(G)$ be non-abelian finite. Then $C_G(H) < H$ is finite as G is an \mathfrak{A} -group, and hence G is locally finite by [7, Theorem 2.4]. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ be locally soluble. If the hypercenter of G contains a pair of non-commuting periodic elements, then G is locally finite.*

Proof. Let a and b non-commuting periodic elements contained in the hypercenter of G . Then $H = \langle a, b \rangle$ is a finite non-abelian subgroup which is contained in $R(G)$, and the result follows from Proposition 5.4. \square

6. Finite \mathfrak{A} -groups

Here we study finite groups in \mathfrak{A} , in particular, p -groups. The proof of the following preliminary lemma is similar to the proof of [5, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 6.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a subgroup closed class of finite groups. Then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if for every $G \in \mathcal{F}$ the following holds: if $K \leq G$ is non-abelian, then $Z(G) \leq K$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, then every non-abelian $K \leq G$ of $G \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $Z(G) \leq C_G(K) \leq K$. For the converse, denote by \mathcal{F}_n the subset of \mathcal{F} of groups of order n , and proceed by induction on n . Clearly, $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, so we may assume that $n > 1$. Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and let $K \leq G$ be non-abelian. Let $z \in C_G(K)$ and define $H = \langle K, z \rangle$; note that $z \in C_H(K)$. If $H = G$, then $z \in Z(G)$, hence $z \in K$ by assumption. If $H < G$, then $H \in \mathcal{F}_m$ for some $m < n$, hence $H \in \mathfrak{A}$ by the induction hypothesis, and therefore $z \in C_H(K) \leq K$. In conclusion, $C_G(K) \leq K$ for every non-abelian $K \leq G$, hence $G \in \mathfrak{A}$. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a subgroup closed class of finite groups. Suppose that $Z(G) \leq \Phi(G)$ for every non-abelian $G \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{A}$ be of smallest possible order. Denote the family of all subgroups of G by \mathcal{F} . Note that every proper subgroup of G is in \mathfrak{A} . Let $K \in \mathcal{F}$, and let H be a non-abelian subgroup of K . We wish to prove that $Z(K) \leq H$. If $K \neq G$, then $K \in \mathfrak{A}$, therefore $Z(K) \leq C_K(H) \leq H$. If $K = G$, we can assume without loss of generality that $H \neq G$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G containing H . As $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, we conclude that $C_M(H) \leq H$. Since $Z(G) \leq \Phi(G)$, it follows that $Z(G) \leq C_M(H) \leq H$, as required. Now Lemma 6.1 yields $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, which contradicts our assumption. \square

Corollary 6.3. *A non-abelian finite group L lies in \mathfrak{A} if and only if all its maximal subgroups lie in \mathfrak{A} and $Z(L) \leq \Phi(L)$.*

Proof. Clearly, if $L \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $M < L$ is maximal and non-abelian, then $M \in Y$, hence $Z(L) \leq C_L(M) \leq M$. If M is abelian, then $Z(L) \leq M$, since otherwise $L = MZ(L)$ is abelian, a contradiction.

Now consider the converse. Suppose the claim is not true and choose a minimal counterexample, that is, a group $L \notin \mathfrak{A}$ of smallest possible order such that $Z(L) \leq \Phi(L)$ and $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ for all maximal subgroups $M < L$. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all subgroups of L . Let $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and $K \leq G$ be non-abelian. We aim to show that $Z(G) \leq K$. If this holds for all such G and K , then Lemma 6.1 proves that $L \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, a contradiction. If $G < L$, then $G \leq M$ for some non-abelian maximal subgroup $M < L$. By assumption, $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, hence $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $Z(G) \leq C_G(K) \leq K$. Now consider $G = L$. If $K = G$, then $Z(G) \leq K$, so let $K \leq M < G$ for some non-abelian maximal subgroup $M < G$. By assumption, $Z(G) \leq M \in \mathfrak{A}$, hence $Z(G) \leq C_M(K) \leq K$. \square

6.4. Finite p -groups in \mathfrak{A}

Motivated by Section 3, we now concentrate on finite p -groups. The next two lemmas give the well-known characterizations of minimal non-abelian finite p -groups, see [14, Lemma 2.2] and [1, Exercise 8a, p. 29].

Lemma 6.5. *Let G be a finite p -group. The following are equivalent:*

- a) G is minimal non-abelian.
- b) $d(G) = 2$ and $|G'| = p$.
- c) $d(G) = 2$ and $Z(G) = \Phi(G)$.

Lemma 6.6. *Every minimal non-abelian p -group is isomorphic to one of:*

- a) $K_1 = Q_8$,
- b) $K_2 = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, a^b = a^{1+p^{m-1}} \rangle$ with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$; this group is metacyclic of order p^{n+m} ,
- c) $K_3 = \langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = c^p = 1, [a, b] = c, [c, a] = [c, b] = 1 \rangle$ with $m + n > 2$ if $p = 2$; this group is not metacyclic of order p^{m+n+1} , and the derived subgroup K'_3 is a maximal cyclic subgroup.

Recall that a finite p -group G lies in \mathfrak{A} if and only if every minimal non-abelian $K \leq G$ satisfies $C_G(K) \leq K$; in particular, $Z(G) \leq K$. Since minimal non-abelian p -groups are classified, this poses strict conditions on $Z(G)$. We denote by $\Omega_1(G)$ the subgroup of G generated by all elements of order p , and G^p is the subgroup of G generated by all p -th powers of elements of G . As usual, C_n denotes a cyclic group of order n .

Lemma 6.7. *If K is a minimal non-abelian p -group, then $Z(K) \cong C_{p^i} \times C_{p^j}$ or $Z(K) \cong C_p \times C_{p^i} \times C_{p^j}$ for some $i, j \geq 0$, and $\Omega_1(Z(K))$ is elementary abelian of rank 1, 2, or 3.*

Proof. Let K_1, K_2, K_3 be as in Lemma 6.6. If $K \cong K_1$, then $Z(G) \cong C_2$. If $K \cong K_2$, then $Z(K) \cong \langle a^p, b^p \rangle$; if $K \cong K_3$, then $Z(K) \cong \langle a^p, b^p, c \rangle$ \square

The next result deals with metacyclic p -groups. We note that not all metacyclic groups are in \mathfrak{A} , since one can easily show, for example, that $D_{12} \notin \mathfrak{A}$. The situation is quite different if we restrict to p -groups.

Proposition 6.8. *Every metacyclic p -group is in \mathfrak{A} .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{X} be the class of metacyclic p -groups, and let $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ be non-abelian. Note that all subgroups of G are also metacyclic. By Lemma 6.2 it suffices to prove that $Z(G)$ is contained in $\Phi(G)$. By [10, Theorem 3.1], the group G can be given by a reduced presentation

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^m} = 1, b^{p^n} = a^{p^{m-s}}, a^b = a^{\varepsilon + p^{m-c}} \rangle,$$

where the precise numerical restrictions on m, n, s and c are given in [10, Theorem 3.1], and $\varepsilon = 1$ when $p > 2$, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ when $p = 2$. Furthermore, it is clear that $\Phi(G) = \langle a^p, b^p \rangle$, and [10, Proposition 4.10] gives $Z(G) = \langle a^{p^u}, b^{p^v} \rangle$, where $u = v = c$ when $\varepsilon = 1$, and $u = m - 1, v = \max\{1, c\}$ when $\varepsilon = -1$. From here the assertion easily follows. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a p -group. If $g \in G \setminus \Phi(G)$, then $C_G(g)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that $G = \langle g, M \rangle$. First, we show that $K = C_M(g)$ is abelian. If this is not the case, then, since $G, M \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $C_M(K) = C_G(K) = Z(K)$. By definition, g commutes with K , so $g \in C_G(K) \leq Z(K)$, which is not possible since $K \leq M$ and $g \notin M$. Thus, $K = C_M(g)$ must be abelian. Note that every $h \in G$ can be written as $h = g^j m$ for some $m \in M$ and $j = 0, \dots, p - 1$. Now $h \in C_G(g)$ if and only if $g^{j+1} m = g g^j m = g^j m g = g^{j+1} m^g$, if and only if $m^g = m$, if and only if $m \in C_M(g) = K$. This proves that $C_G(g) = \langle g, K \rangle$. As shown above, K is abelian, hence also $C_G(g)$ is abelian. \square

Lemma 6.10. *All p -groups of order p, p^2 , and p^3 lie in \mathfrak{A} . A p -group of order p^4 lies in \mathfrak{A} if and only if it is abelian, has maximal class, or $\Phi(G) = Z(G)$.*

Proof. Groups of order p^n with $n \leq 3$ are abelian or minimal non-abelian, hence lie in \mathfrak{A} . Let G be a group of order p^4 . If G is abelian, then $G \in \mathfrak{A}$. If G has maximal class, then every maximal subgroup $M < G$ satisfies $Z(G) < G' < M$; clearly, $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ since $|M| = p^3$, hence $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ by Corollary 6.3. Now suppose G has nilpotency class 2, hence $G > Z(G) \geq G' \geq 1$. Since every maximal subgroup $M < G$ lies in \mathfrak{A} , we have $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $Z(G) \leq \Phi(G)$. Since G is not abelian, we have $|G : \Phi(G)| \geq p^2$. If $Z(G) < \Phi(G)$, then we must have $G > \Phi(G) > Z(G) = G' > 1$; but $Z(G) = G'$ for a non-abelian group G of order p^4 implies that $G/Z(G)$ has order p^2 , see [1, p. 11, Exercise 40], a contradiction. Thus, $\Phi(G) = Z(G)$. \square

We now consider p -groups of maximal class in more detail; the following remark recalls some important properties.

Remark 6.11. Let G be a group of order p^n of maximal class, and suppose $n \geq 4$. By [11, p. 56], there exists a chief series $G > P_1 > \dots > P_n = 1$ with $P_i = P_i(G) = \gamma_i(G)$ for $i \geq 2$, and $P_1 = P_1(G) = C_G(P_2/P_4)$; we define $P_m = P_m(G) = 1$ if $m > n$. Note that $[P_i, P_j] \leq P_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \geq 1$. We note that P_2, \dots, P_n are the unique normal subgroups of G of index greater than p , see [11, Proposition 3.1.2]. Following [11, Definition 3.2.1], we say the *degree of commutativity* of a p -group of maximal class is the largest integer ℓ with the property that $[P_i, P_j] \leq P_{i+j+\ell}$ for all $i, j \geq 1$ if P_1 is not abelian, and $\ell = n - 3$ if P_1 is abelian. If $n > p + 1$, then G has positive degree of commutativity, see [11, Theorem 3.3.5]. If $s \in G \setminus P_1$ and $s_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$, then s and s_1 generate G ; for $i \leq n$ define $s_i = [s_{i-1}, s]$. If G has positive degree of commutativity, then $s_i \in P_i \setminus P_{i+1}$ for all $i < n$, see [11, Lemma 3.2.4].

Lemma 6.12. *If a p -group G of maximal class has an abelian maximal subgroup, then $G \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. Let $G \notin \mathfrak{A}$ be a p -group of maximal class that has an abelian maximal subgroup A , and suppose that it is of smallest possible order. Let H be a proper non-abelian subgroup of G . It follows from [1, p. 27, Exercise 4] that H is also of maximal class; now note that $H \cap A$ is an abelian maximal subgroup of H , since $p = |G : A| = |HA : A| = |H : H \cap A|$. Thus, all proper subgroups of G belong to \mathfrak{A} . Taking \mathcal{F} to be the family of all subgroups of G , we get a contradiction similarly as in the proof of Lemma 6.2. \square

There exist 3-groups of maximal class all of whose maximal subgroups are non-abelian, see [2]. Nevertheless, we can prove the following.

Lemma 6.13. *The 2- and 3-groups of maximal class lie in \mathfrak{A} .*

Proof. The 2-groups of maximal class are classified and all metacyclic, see [2], hence they lie in \mathfrak{A} by Proposition 6.8. Now let G be a 3-group of maximal class of order 3^n with chief series $G > P_1 > \dots > P_n = 1$ as defined above. We proceed by induction on n . It follows from Lemma 6.10 that $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ if $n \leq 4$, so let $n \geq 5$ in the following. By Lemma 6.12, we can assume that all maximal subgroups are non-abelian. It follows from [11, Corollary 3.3.6] that every maximal subgroup $M < G$ either has maximal class, or $M = P_1$. In the first case, $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ by the induction hypothesis; also, $Z(G) \leq M$ since otherwise $G = MZ(G)$, and $Z(G)Z(M) \leq Z(G)$ yields a contradiction to $|Z(G)| = 3$. If $M = P_1$, then $|M'| = 3$ by [11, Theorem 3.4.3]. It follows from [11, Corollary 3.3.6] that $M^3 = P_3$. Since $M' \leq P_3$, this yields $\Phi(M) = M'M^3 = P_3$, so $M/\Phi(M) = P_1/P_3$. This proves that M is a 2-generator group, hence minimal non-abelian by Lemma 6.5, and $M \in \mathfrak{A}$. Now Corollary 6.3 proves that $G \in \mathfrak{A}$. \square

Theorem 6.14. *Let G be a p -group of maximal class of order p^n . If $p \in \{2, 3\}$ or $n \leq 3$, then $G \in \mathfrak{A}$. If $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 4$, then $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if its 2-step centralizer $P_1(G)$ is abelian.*

Proof. By Lemmas 6.13 and 6.10, it suffices to consider $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 4$. For $n = 4$ the claim follows from the classification in [9, Satz 12.6], so let $n \geq 5$. Let G be of maximal class and define $G > P_1 > \dots > P_n = 1$ and s, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} as in Remark 6.11. Clearly, if P_1 is abelian, then G has an abelian maximal subgroup, hence $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ by Lemma 6.12.

For the converse, suppose that G is a counterexample of smallest order. Choose $s \in G \setminus P_1(G)$ with $|C_G(s)| = p^2$ and $s^p \in Z(G)$, which is possible by [9, Hilfssatz III.14.13], and consider $M = \langle s, P_2 \rangle$. It is easy to see that $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ has maximal class. Since $[P_2, P_3] \leq P_5$, it follows that $P_1(M) = P_2$. If P_2 is nonabelian, then this yields a contradiction to our choice of G ; thus $P_2 = G'$ is abelian and G is metabelian. It follows from [2, Theorem 2.10] that G has positive degree of commutativity $\ell > 0$, cf. [2, p. 74]; in particular, if $n > p + 1$, then $\ell \geq n - p - 1$, see [2, Theorem 3.10]. Recall that we assume P_1 is nonabelian, thus $Z(P_1) = P_m$ for some $m \in \{2, \dots, n - 2\}$. Since $[P_1, G, P_{m-1}] = 1 = [G, P_{m-1}, P_1]$, the three-subgroup lemma [11, Proposition 1.1.8] shows that $[P_{m-1}, P_1, G] = 1$, hence $[P_1, P_{m-1}] = P_{n-1} = Z(G)$. The same argument and an induction can be used to show that $[P_1, P_{m-i}] \leq P_{n-i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, which implies that $\ell = n - m - 1$. Define $H = \langle x, y \rangle$

for some $x \in P_1 \setminus P_2$ and $y \in P_{m-1} \setminus P_m$. Note that $[x, y] \in P_{1+m-1+\ell} = P_{n-1}$, and $[x, y] \neq 1$ since P_2 is abelian and $P_m = Z(P_1)$; thus, $H' = Z(G) = P_{n-1}$. Since $G \in \mathfrak{A}$, it follows that $Z(P_1) \leq H$, thus $P_{m-1} = \langle y, P_m \rangle \leq H$. Since $\ell = n - m - 1 > 0$, and so $n \geq m + 2$, this implies that $P_{n-2} \leq P_{m-1} \leq H$.

First, let $n \leq p + 1$, so that $G/Z(G)$ has exponent p by [11, Proposition 3.3.2]. Since H is a 2-generator group and $Z(G) = H'$, it follows that $Z(G) = \Phi(H)$, hence $|H/Z(G)| \leq p^2$. Now $Z(G) < P_{n-2} \leq Z(H) \leq H$ implies that $|H : Z(H)| \leq p$, so H is abelian. This is a contradiction.

Second, consider $n > p + 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$. Now [11, Corollary 3.3.6] shows that P_{m-1}/P_{m+p-2} is a subgroup of $\Omega_1(H/P_{m+p-2})$ of order $\min\{p^{p-1}, p^{n-m+1}\} = \min\{p^{p-1}, p^{\ell+2}\} \geq p^4$. But H/P_{m+p-2} is a 2-generator p -group whose derived subgroup has order at most p , and so $|\Omega_1(H/P_{m+p-2})| \leq p^3$, a contradiction.

Lastly, consider $n > p + 1$ and $\ell = 1$. As mentioned above, $\ell \geq n - p - 1$, which implies that $n = p + 2$ and $m = p$. Thus, $Z(P_1) = P_p$, and [11, Corollary 3.3.6] shows that $x^p \in P_p \setminus P_{p+1}$ and $W = P_{p-2}$ has exponent p ; recall $p \geq 5$. Since $P_2 = G'$ is abelian and $x \in P_1 \setminus P_2$, it follows that $C_W(x) = Z(P_1) \cap W = P_p$, hence $|\{[x, w] \mid w \in W\}| = |\{x^w \mid w \in W\}| = |W : C_W(x)| = p^2$. Together with $\{[x, w] \mid w \in W\} \subseteq P_p$, this implies that $P_p = \{[x, w] \mid w \in W\}$. In particular, there is $w \in W$ with $[x, w] = x^p$, which implies that $J = \langle x, w \rangle$ is non-abelian with order p^3 and exponent p^2 , and so $|\Omega_1(J)| = p^2$. It follows from $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ that $P_p \leq J$, thus $\langle w, P_p \rangle \leq \Omega_1(J)$ and $|\Omega_1(J)| \geq p^3$. This final contradiction completes the proof. \square

We end this section with a classification of the p -groups in \mathfrak{A} of exponent p .

Theorem 6.15. *Let $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a finite p -group of exponent p . If $|G| > p^p$, then G is elementary abelian. Otherwise, either G is elementary abelian, or G has maximal class and an elementary abelian subgroup of index p .*

Proof. Clearly, if G is abelian, then G is elementary abelian. Thus, in the following, suppose that G is non-abelian. By Lemma 6.6, if $p > 2$, then every minimal non-abelian $K \leq G$ must be extra-special of order p^3 and $Z(G) = Z(K) \cong C_p$; if $p = 2$, then there is no minimal non-abelian subgroup of exponent 2, hence G is elementary abelian. Thus, in the following let $p > 2$ and $|Z(G)| = p$; we prove the assertion by induction on the order of G .

By Lemma 6.10, our claim is true if $|G|$ divides p^3 ; if $|G| = p^4$, then the claim follows from the known classification of groups of order p^4 , see [9, Satz 12.6]. So in the following we discuss the case $n \geq 5$. By the induction hypothesis, each maximal subgroup $M < G$ is either elementary abelian,

or has maximal class and $M \cong \langle h \rangle \times C_p^{n-2}$. Note that the latter can only happen if $n \leq p+1$, since otherwise $|M| = p^{n-1} > p^p$ and then the induction hypothesis forces M to be elementary abelian.

Suppose G has an abelian maximal subgroup M . Since $|Z(G)| = p$, it follows from [1, Exercise 4, p. 27] that G has maximal class. Define $G > P_1 > \dots > P_n = 1$ and s, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} as in Remark 6.11. Note that $P_1 = M$ since M is abelian and $P_1 = C_G(P_2/P_4)$, hence G has positive degree of commutativity. If $n > p$, then $s_p \in P_p \setminus P_{p+1}$ by [11, Lemma 3.2.4]. Now [11, Corollary 1.1.7(i)] yields $(ss_1)^p = s_p \neq 1$, which is a contradiction to $(ss_1)^p = 1$. This proves that if $|G| > p^p$ and G has a maximal subgroup which is elementary abelian, then G is elementary abelian.

Now suppose G has no elementary abelian maximal subgroup, and so $n \leq p+1$ as shown above. If $M < G$ is maximal, then M has maximal class and an elementary abelian subgroup $N < M$ of order p^{n-2} . Observe that $N = P_1(M)$ is characteristic in M , hence $N \trianglelefteq G$. Let $M^* \neq M$ be maximal subgroup of G , and define $N^* = P_1(M^*)$. Note that $N^* \trianglelefteq G$ is abelian, and

$$(*) \quad |NN^* : N \cap N^*| = |NN^* : N| |N : N \cap N^*| = |NN^* : N| |NN^* : N^*|.$$

Suppose $N \neq N^*$, so NN^* has index 1 or p in G . If $G = NN^*$, then $G' = [N, N^*] \leq N \cap N^*$ since N and N^* are abelian and normal in G ; now $|G : G'| \geq p^4$ by (*), contradicting $|G : M'| = p^3$. Thus, if $N \neq N^*$, then $L = NN^* < G$ is maximal. Note that $N \cap N^* \leq Z(L)$, and $|L : Z(L)| \leq |L : N \cap N^*| = p^2$ by (*). Since L has maximal class, $|L| \leq p^3$, which contradicts $|G| \geq p^5$. In conclusion, we have proved $N = N^*$; in particular, N is contained in every maximal subgroup of G , and so $N = P_1(M) = \Phi(G) = \gamma_2(G)$. An induction on i now proves that $\gamma_i(G) = \gamma_{i-1}(M)$ for all $i \geq 3$, hence G has maximal class. By Theorem 6.14, the group G has an abelian maximal subgroup – a contradiction to our assumption. In conclusion, G has an elementary abelian maximal subgroup, and the claim follows. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee(s) for their very thorough reading, and for suggesting shorter proofs. In particular, the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 6.14 have been shortened significantly due to alternative arguments given by the referee(s).

References

- [1] Y. Berkovich, *Groups of prime power order*, Vol. 1, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2008.
- [2] N. Blackburn, *On a special class of p -groups*, *Acta Math.* **100** (1958), 49–92.
- [3] N. Blackburn, *Some remarks on Černikov p -groups*, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 421–433.
- [4] C. Delizia, *Some remarks on aperiodic elements in locally nilpotent groups*, *Int. J. Algebra* **1** (2007), no. 7, 311–315.
- [5] C. Delizia, U. Jezernik, P. Moravec, C. Nicotera, *Groups in which every non-cyclic subgroup contains its centralizer*, *J. Algebra Appl.* **13** (2014), no. 5, 1350154 (11 pages).
- [6] C. Delizia, U. Jezernik, P. Moravec, C. Nicotera, C. Parker, *Locally finite groups in which every non-cyclic subgroup is self-centralizing*, submitted.
- [7] G. Endimioni, C. Sica, *Centralizer of Engel elements in a group*, *Algebra Colloq.* **17** (2010), no. 3, 487–494.
- [8] M. De Falco, F. de Giovanni, C. Musella, *Metahamiltonian groups and related topics*, *Int. J. Group Theory* **2** (2013), no. 1, 117–129.
- [9] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer Verlag, 1967.
- [10] B. W. King, *Presentations of metacyclic groups*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **8** (1973), 103–131.
- [11] C. R. Leedham-Green, S. McKay, *The structure of groups of prime power order*, Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [12] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [13] Y. F. Wu, *Groups in which commutativity is a transitive relation*, *J. Algebra* **207** (1998), 165–181.
- [14] X. Xu, L. An, Q. Zhang, *Finite p -groups all of whose non-abelian proper subgroups are generated by two elements*, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 3603–3620.